Agenda

- Welcome and Introductions
- Strategy Board Update
- Technology Recharge Fee Annual Review
- FY 2015 Investment Opportunities
- Portfolio Prioritization Process Follow Up
- Wrap Up
Strategy Board Update
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vision</th>
<th>Convenient and safe access to information and services across time, place, device and organizational boundaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Mission | Enable students, faculty and staff to be more effective  
|         | Help UW manage risks and resources  
|         | Encourage innovation and competitiveness |
| Foundation | Be a trusted, sought-after partner, passionate about using IT to improve the UW  
|           | Operate an exemplary organization, known for excellence, efficiency, and integrity  
|           | Develop strong strategic partnerships  
|           | Foster a culture of exploration, sharing, and data-driven results  
|           | Listen, anticipate, communicate, execute |
| Drivers | Cloud, Collaboration, Consumerization, Mobility, Personalization, Big Data |
| Strategic Goals | ![Diagram](image-url) |
| Assesments & Outcomes | ![Diagram](image-url) |
Timeline for Administrative Application Assumptions - DRAFT

- One major system at a time
- Incremental innovation are a priority, especially in student facing systems
- Investments in business process redesign will lead to better outcomes
- Momentum and expertise from system replacements should be leveraged
- Better information now for decision making is critical
Administrative Systems Replacement - DRAFT

FY 13 | FY 14 | FY 15 | FY 16 | FY 17 | FY 18 | FY 19 | FY 20
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---

**HR/Payroll**
- Business process redesign
- Replace legacy systems
- Additional functionality

**Finance**
- Procure to Pay
- HR/P - finance intersections
- Options analysis
- Business process redesign
- Replace legacy systems
- Additional functionality

**Student**
- MyPlan / Student self svc
- Curriculum Management
- Scoping & tech feasibility
- Business process redesign
- Student - finance intersections
- Replace legacy systems

**Enterprise Info Mgmt**
- EDMS proof of concept
- Enterprise integration
- EDW / BI build-out

---

Significant implementation effort
Incremental modernization

Nov 2013

(ongoing maintenance and production support not represented)
- Portfolio Review Board (bi-weekly) – Managed resources, assure projects are on track
- IT Service Management Board (monthly) – Make recommendations for service improvements and discontinuation of service
- IT Service Investment Board (SIB) (monthly) – Project priorities, budget requests, TRF
- IT Strategy Board (3 times per year) – Policy, strategy, major IT investment, review
Technology Recharge Fee Annual Review
TRF Review: Progress to Date

- Successful audit of the TRF rate process by UW Internal Audit in Spring 2013
- Annual review and analysis by the Technology Recharge Fee Advisory Committee in October
- Recommendation to the Service Investment Board today
TRF Rate for FY 2015
Cost Model Assumptions

- Maintain methodology approved by Service Investment Board for FY14 & FY15
- Use UW-IT FY 2014 budget as base
- Maintain FY 2014 TRF funding level
  - Use UW-IT Fund Balance to cover increase in expenditures - $2.9M
- New investments from Provost are not included in calculations
UW-IT FY14 Budget

$109M Total UW-IT Budget

- Self Sustaining: $25.2M
- Information Management: $22.1M
- Student Tech Fee: $2.2M
- Classroom, Technology, & Events: $6M
- University Supported: $3.9M

$50M Basic Services

- Basic Services - Central: $31.2M
- Basic Services - TRF: $18.9M

Total UW-IT Budget: $109M

Basic Services: $50M
TRF Rate for FY 2015

- TRF Committee recommendation is to maintain current TRF Rates (no change)*:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 14</th>
<th>FY 15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campus Rate</td>
<td>$54.50 mo</td>
<td>$54.50 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Center Rate</td>
<td>$50.00 mo</td>
<td>$50.00 mo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*TRF rate calculation resulted in an immaterial rate change. Committee recommended maintaining existing rates.
Looking Ahead

- Explore major changes to TRF for FY16:
  - Move to more transparent and simpler allocation methodology
  - Align with current UW-IT organization and services
  - Review treatment of Information Management and Classroom Technology & Events (new to UW-IT)
  - Review treatment of students
  - Review allocation of GOF/DOF

- Begin in Winter/Spring 2014
FY 2015 Investment Opportunities
Should we include in the TRF?

- **40G Campus Network Upgrade**
  - *Increase the campus backbone network capacity to support big data research*

- **Undergraduate Admissions Modernization**
  - *Transition the paper-intensive admissions review to an online process*
## Impact of New Investments on TRF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 15</th>
<th>40 GB</th>
<th>UGA</th>
<th>Both</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campus</td>
<td>$54.50</td>
<td>$56.68</td>
<td>$56.98</td>
<td>$59.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Med Ctrs*</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Medical Centers are covered by separate networking agreement, and not impacted by 40 GB network. Medical Centers do not contribute to Information Management efforts.*
Information Item:
Included in UW-IT Provost Request FY 2015

- 40G Campus Network Upgrade
- Undergraduate Admissions Modernization
- MY Husky Experience
  - A technology ecosystem that promotes student engagement in co-curricular learning experiences across campus
- UW Academic Explorer
  - Following MyPlan, additional academic planning tools
UW-IT Portfolio Ranking Process
Follow Up
UW-IT Portfolio Ranking Process Outcomes

- Use ranking process priorities to guide UW-IT project resource allocations when conflicts arise
- Hold next phase of eFECS project*
  - Scope a more limited project to deliver functionality not provided by future replacement systems
- Hold next phase of MyFD project*
- Seek funding for top two SIB priorities: 40G Network and Undergraduate Modernization

*Projects have maintenance-level funding
Ranking Process Feedback

- What worked well
- Suggestions for improvement
  - Process
  - Project Proposals
  - Ranking Criteria
  - Other