Project Portfolio Executive Summary for October 2013

eFECS Phase 4 project health status changed from green to yellow due to schedule being pushed out and resource conflicts. Kuali Student and Rice projects transitioned into 'program' mode. UW local projects related to Kuali Student and Rice (i.e., MyPlan and Curriculum Management) will continue to be tracked at the UW-IT level and will no longer report to the IT Strategy Board. Kronos Phase 1 ETR project was successfully deployed and completed on August 31, 2013.

UW Enterprise Projects

Projects - Yellow	Sponsor	Oversight Level *	Project Health **	Overall Risk Rating ***	Budget Rating	Schedule Rating	Scope Rating	lssues Rating	Actual Cost (Project Life)	Budget (Project Life)
eFECS Phase 4	Susan Camber	2 - UW	Yellow	14	2	5	3	4	\$397,736	\$2,176,590

Project Status: This status report for eFECS Phase 4 is focused on our first increment of delivery related to Non-FEC cost sharing with an original target date of Fall 2013. This 1st of 4 planned increments can be accomplished with current funding. Future deliverables related to eFECS Phase 4 are dependent upon funding approval for Fiscal Year 2014. This funding approval has not yet been received.

Overall Status: Progress has been made on defining business and technical requirements for the Expense Transfer and Cost Share Balance Checker for both eFECS and MyFD. The eFECS and MyFD reciprocity agreement has expired and a decision needs to be made on the priority for the MyFD resources across eFECS, P2P and MyFD. Containing impact of the intersections between eFECS and MyFD has been difficult due to resource limitations and effort requirements. The team will continue development on the Non-FEC cost share features that do not require significant intersection with MyFD during Spring 2013.

Project Portfolio Executive Summary for October 2013

Projects - Green	Sponsor	Oversight Level *	Project Health **	Overall Risk Rating ***	Budget Rating	Schedule Rating	Scope Rating	Issues Rating	Actual Cost (Project Life)	Budget (Project Life)
EDMS Implementation Project	Gary Quarfoth Ann Anderson	2 - OCIO	Green	9	2	2	2	3	\$2,762,761	\$5,361,192

Project Status: Progress continues on foundational work required for the pilot projects.

- We are currently on track to complete the base installation of our project development environment by 10/30/2013. We intend to have all project environments (Dev, Test and Prod) completed by approximately the end of the year.
- We identified skills gaps and are actively working on mitigating risk stemming from these gaps, through a combination of re-alignment of project tasks and accelerated ramping up of our internal team, to reduce dependency on our vendor in areas in which the vendor is weak.
- The Records Management and EDMS teams trained together on the new EDMS product functionality in October 2013, to help create a common vision and kick start the business implementation. We will continue to work together to define and execute an enterprise approach to management of records.
- We have defined the initial set of technology and capabilities required to support project goals, and are aligning resources with development of these capabilities in anticipation of pilot project work starting early next year.
- We have a Communications "Road Show" drafted and we intend to share it with interested groups across campus in November and December 2013.

Project Status: UW began negotiations with both finalists in early September with a goal to have all key issues resolved by 11/4/13. The contract team has focused more of its effort and time on the preferred finalist, but is continuing to engage the bidder in the second slot in the event the UW cannot come to terms with the preferred finalist. Great progress has been made on the master agreements, project agreements, statements of work, and ordering forms, but key issues still remain which has required executive level engagement. We anticipate all issues will be resolve by mid-November 2013.

Finalists submitted revised pricing mid-September and UW is continuing to negotiate price. The HRP Director and sponsors have been focused on developing the business case for presentation to the Regents. Internal briefings were initiated on 10/21 with a focus on costs and funding plans. Based on briefing outcomes, expanded briefings related to the funding repayment plan/approach are needed. The expanded briefings are tied to the President's travel schedule and. as a result, the Regent's Information Item re: the HRP project has been moved from November 14 to January 9. Approval will be requested during the February Regents' meeting and leadership is seeking concurrent State approval so we can begin the implementation on March 1 as currently planned.

Procurement will be issuing an addendum later this week to reflect the revised dates. The contract team plans to have the contract documents finalized and an irrevocable offer signed November 2013. The Apparently Successful Bidder (ASB) will not be announced until after the January Regents' meeting.

Projects - Green	Sponsor	Oversight Level *	Project Health **	Overall Risk Rating ***	Budget Rating	Schedule Rating	Scope Rating	Issues Rating	Actual Cost (Project Life)	Budget (Project Life)
Kuali Student and Rice	V'Ella Warren	1 - UW	OCIO Plan Complete	8	2	2	2	2	\$5,028,782	\$5,000,000

Project Status: Per Kelli's closeout report to the OCIO on July 31, 2013.

The five year effort has been a success, and, with completion of this project, we have Kuali tools and functionality integrated with the UW administrative systems to support our students' wide-range of academic needs.

The UW will continue to use the Kuali tools, including Kuali Rice, which supports infrastructure development to further improve our student experience. We made this part of our regular, funded, ongoing support, maintenance, and enhancement of administrative systems. As such, the original project is considered closed and there will be no further project reports.

UW Medicine Projects

regulatory, such as Meaningful Use) to be included in the project scope.

Projects - Green	Sponsor	Oversight Level *	Project Health **	Overall Risk Rating ***	Budget Rating	Schedule Rating	Scope Rating	Issues Rating	Actual Cost (Project Life)	Budget (Project Life)
Epic Enterprise Specialty Implementation (EESI)	Johnese Spisso James Fine	3 - UW	Green	9	2	2	2	3	\$7,636,000	\$49,680,000
not have the Epic practice stabilized following go-live, The EESI Project has succes process now in place, EESI The EESI Project has compl Revenue Cycle Project thre within the assigned area of project phase, Build Phase, The primary project risk co being realized. Note: % Complete and Actor	, and have been inc ssfully completed re transitioned to syst eted its Design Con rad. This thread was reporting. Since th started in Septeml ntinues to be relate	orporated int equirements a em build phase nplete Phase I s considered t e review, rep- per 2013 and ed to the aggr	o existing opera and design miles se. Milestone Revie o be "Yellow" du orting has been is scheduled to r	tions support s tones (called " w (internal QA ue to the later- re-assigned to run through Ja	structures. Discovery" a review) with than-planne a new consi nuary 2014.	and "Alignmen h "Green" readed start by the ultant and the	t", respective diness scores Revenue Cyc schedule ha	ely). With a D across all pro cle Project Ma s been revise	esign Change C oject threads ex anager and the d and baselined	ontrol ccept the complexity d. The next
BusinessObjects Enterprise (BOE) Migration	Lori Mitchell Maureen Hooley	2 - UW	Green	9	1	2	3	3	\$527,005	\$7,214,088
Project Status: Phase 1 (Ho reports being deployed in t foundation is now in place future success. Phase 2 (Professional Billin The primary project risk ref freeze on new report reque	the new reporting p for ongoing operat g) of the migration lates to ongoing rep	platform. Whil ional activities project has in port requests	e the report thr s. Additionally, t itiated with the that continue to	oughput is low he governance completion of OCIO tract fro	ver than what e structure (v a release or om the proje	at we would have with both data an 10/15/13. Over the other the ot	ave liked due a owners and ver 30 new re mitigation st	to the steep data steward eports were d rategy is to co	learning curve, ls) is in place to eployed during ontinue to enfo	the technical ensure this release. rce a general

Project Portfolio Executive Summary for October 2013

Projects - Green	Sponsor	Oversight Level *	Project Health **	Overall Risk Rating ***	Budget Rating	Schedule Rating	Scope Rating	Issues Rating	Actual Cost (Project Life)	Budget (Project Life)
Kronos Phase 1 ETR	Johnese Spisso	2 - OCIO	Complete	7	1	3	2	1	\$4,334,000	\$5,125,000
Phase 1B kicked off on May order to have HMC go first i Both Cycles 5 and 6 are now	n Cycle 5. UWMC	is now Cycle 6	5. There are few	er than 2,000	employees i	n each of thes	e cycles, whi	ch include in	patient units an	d nursing.
McKesson Horizon Surger Manager Implementation f Northwest Hospital	lames Fine	2 - 110/	New	-	-	-	-	-	\$0	\$4,978,961
Project Status: This project i										

Projects – Complete	Sponsor	Oversight Level *	Monitor of Benefits/Value Realized
CHARMS: Project went live 8/1/10	Lori Mitchell	3 - OCIO	Biennial performance report due summer 2011
UW Medicine McKesson v15 Upgrade: Project went live 2/22/12	Paul Ishizuka	2 - OCIO	
ORCA Computerized Provider Order Entry (CPOE): Project went live 9/24/12	James Fine	3 - OCIO	

	* Oversight Level Key
1	Overseen by UW management and staff. Requires OCIO approval and reporting if over delegated authority.
2	OCIO approval required and regular project reporting. QA reporting required, maybe internal or external. OCIO may recommend project to be full TSB oversight.
3	High severity &/or high risk, subject to full TSB oversight, which includes TSB approval, written reports to the TSB, periodic status reports to the TSB by the agency director and staff, and submission of other reports as directed by the TSB. External QA reporting required.

Note for UW Medicine: project oversight levels 2 & 3 report to UW management

	** Project Health Key
Green	Project is on time, on budget, and within defined scope.
	Overall Risk Rating where 4-9 is Green.
Yellow	Changes to scope, budget, or resources have placed project at
	some risk. Project has the potential for delays or scope
	changes.
	Overall Risk Rating where 10-14 is Yellow.
Red	Major changes to scope, budget, or resources have placed
	project at critical risk. One or more of the following must
	change in order to proceed: project schedule, resources,
	budget, scope.
	Overall Risk Rating where 15-20 is Red.

	*** IT Project Risk Ratings						
Current Risk Rating	Use the scale below to rate current performance on Budget, Schedule, and Scope (select appropriate number for each)						
Budget =	1 = Performing better than project plan; ahead by 5% or more						
	2 = Performance is on plan						
Schedule =	3 = Behind plan, but within 5% of original targets						
	4 = Behind plan between 6% to 10% and likely to use/using contingency						
Scope =	5 = Greater than 10% behind plan and more than half of contingency used						
Current Risk Rating	Use the scale below to rate current impact of Issues and other factors relevant to the project (select one number for this measure)						
	1 = No risks or issues identified at this time						
lssues =	2 = Some identified but minor, no impacts anticipated						
	3 = Some that could impact the project are being managed, with minimal impact anticipated						
	4 = Significant risks/issues/other factors identified but not yet managed						
	5 = Risks/issues being managed but will have significant impact (greater than 10%) on project budget, schedule and/or scope						