

Report of

Key Performance Metrics

for the IRB Review Process

Report #18
Period: January 1, 2013 – June 30, 2013
Posted August 30, 2013

Compiled by the Human Subjects Division
Office of Research

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION **2**
BACKGROUND 2
METRICS CALCULATIONS 2
WHAT'S NEW IN THIS REPORT? 2
OVERALL TURN-AROUND TIME **3**
TABLE 1. OVERALL TURN-AROUND TIME (TAT) FOR INITIAL IRB APPLICATIONS 3
DETAILED ANALYSIS: FULL IRB REVIEW **4**
FIGURE 1. OVERALL TURN-AROUND TIME FOR INITIAL APPLICATIONS REQUIRING FULL IRB REVIEW 4
FIGURES 2. AND 3. DISTRIBUTION OF TURN-AROUND TIME FOR NEW, FULL IRB APPLICATIONS 5
FIGURE 3. DISTRIBUTION OF TURN-AROUND TIME FOR NEW, FULL IRB APPLICATIONS (CONT.) 6
FIGURE 4. TIME REQUIRED TO OBTAIN CONDITIONAL APPROVAL FOR INITIAL APPLICATIONS REQUIRING FULL IRB REVIEW 7
FIGURE 5. TIME REQUIRED TO PREPARE IRB REVIEW LETTERS TO RESEARCHERS 8
FIGURE 6. TIME REQUIRED TO PREPARE IRB REVIEW LETTERS TO RESEARCHERS (CONT.) 9
FIGURE 7. DISTRIBUTION OF OUTCOMES FOR THE FIRST FULL IRB REVIEW OF INITIAL APPLICATIONS 10
FIGURE 8. TIME FROM APPLICATION RECEIPT TO FIRST FULL IRB REVIEW ("AGENDA DATE") 11
DETAILED ANALYSIS: MODIFICATIONS TO STUDIES REQUIRING FULL BOARD OVERSIGHT **12**
TABLES 2 AND 3. TURN-AROUND TIME FOR MODS TO STUDIES UNDER FULL BOARD OVERSIGHT ... 12
FIGURES 9. AND 10. BREAKDOWN OF TYPES OF MODS REQUESTED (STUDIES REQUIRING FULL BOARD OVERSIGHT) 13
FIGURE 10. BREAKDOWN OF TYPES OF MODS REQUESTED (STUDIES REQUIRING FULL BOARD OVERSIGHT, CONT.) 14
FIGURE 11. NEW FULL IRB APPLICATIONS: HOW MUCH OF TURN-AROUND TIME (TAT) IS IRB WORK TIME VERSUS RESEARCHER RESPONSE TIME? 15
DETAILED ANALYSIS: MINIMAL RISK REVIEW **16**
FIGURE 12. OVERALL TURN-AROUND TIME FOR INITIAL APPS RECEIVING MINIMAL RISK REVIEW .. 16
FIGURES 13. AND 14. DISTRIBUTION OF TURN-AROUND TIME FOR NEW, MINIMAL RISK APPLICATIONS 17
FIGURE 15. DISTRIBUTION OF TURN-AROUND TIME FOR NEW, MINIMAL RISK APPLICATIONS (CONT.) 18
FIGURE 16. TURN-AROUND TIME FOR MEDICAL RECORDS REVIEW APPLICATIONS 19
DETAILED ANALYSIS: MODIFICATIONS TO MINIMAL RISK STUDIES **20**
TABLE 4. TURN-AROUND TIME FOR MODIFICATIONS TO MINIMAL RISK STUDIES 20
FIGURE 17. BREAKDOWN OF TYPES OF MODIFICATIONS REQUESTED (MINIMAL RISK STUDIES) 21
DETAILED ANALYSIS: EXEMPT APPLICATIONS **22**
FIGURE 18. OVERALL TURN-AROUND TIME FOR REVIEW OF EXEMPT APPLICATIONS 22
FIGURE 19. DISTRIBUTION OF TURN-AROUND TIME FOR NEW APPS FOR EXEMPT STATUS 23
DEFINITIONS **24**
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS **25**
APPENDIX A – IRB PROCESS FLOW CHARTS **27**

INTRODUCTION

Background
Reliable and detailed metrics are a fundamental tool for accomplishing HSD strategic goals and specific objectives. This regular report fulfills one of those objectives, which is to provide researchers with publicly-available and reliable "turn-around time" metrics, to assist them in planning their research activities. Also, metrics will improve the IRB process by identifying bottlenecks, inefficiencies, and unnecessary steps.

These metrics are updated twice yearly. New types of metrics will occasionally be added.

Metrics calculations
Each bi-annual report is based on a 6-month "window" of data.

Three descriptive statistics are reported for turn-around time metrics: median (average) number of business days, range, and number of applications. "Business days" do not exclude University holidays or closures (e.g., snow days).

What's NEW in this Report?

- HSD has set target turn-around times and and ranges for new applications requiring Full IRB review and new Minimal Risk applications. See pages 4 and 17.
- HSD is now able to provide estimates of the number of days the application spends on HSD's "desk"compared to the number of days spent on the researcher's "desk", for new applications requiring Full IRB review. See page 15.

Additional information
Definitions: page 24
Visual representation of the IRB review process: Appendix A

Questions?
See the "Questions and Answers" at the end of this document.
For other questions, send an email to dora1g@u.washington.edu, or call Candy Sunick, Metrics and Reporting Manager at 206-685-0561.

OVERALL TURN-AROUND TIME

TABLE 1. Overall Turn-Around Time (TAT) for Initial IRB Applications

	Full IRB Review	Minimal Risk Review	Exempt Status
Reporting period	1/1/13– 6/30/13	1/1/13– 6/30/13	1/1/13– 6/30/13
Median business days to completion of approval process*	59.5	25	9
Range	7 to 322	0 to 167	0 to 61
Number of applications (n)	124	375	209
Target TAT**	71	21	N/A
Target Upper End of Range**	254	307	N/A

HSD considers the approval process complete on the date when the approval packet is mailed to the researcher.

Targets for Turn-Around Time and range have been set using baseline data from 2009. For more information on how the baseline was calculated, please see the Q&A section at the end of this report.

Note: Charts throughout this report contain data for the current reporting period as well as the past three reporting periods (listed below).

Reporting Period	Metrics Report
1/1/12 – 6/30/12	Report 15
4/1/12 – 9/30/12	Report 16
7/1/12 – 12/31/12	Report 17

FIGURE 1. Overall Turn-Around Time for Initial Applications Requiring Full IRB Review



DESCRIPTION

This chart shows the average number of business days required to obtain Full Approval of new IRB applications undergoing Full IRB review for the most recent four reporting periods. The vertical bars show the range of the turn-around time. One study that took abonormally long is responsible for the high range. If that study had been excluded, the upper end of the range would be 261 business days.

For a visualization of the process, click on the above flowchart.

FIGURES 2. AND 3. Distribution of Turn-Around Time for New, Full IRB Applications



DESCRIPTION

This chart and the chart on the next page show the frequency distribution of turn-around times for the review and approval of new full IRB applications. This chart shows the frequency distribution below the median (i.e., the frequency distribution for all turnaround times of 59.5 days or less). The figure on the next page shows the frequency distribution for all turnaround times above the median of 59.5 days.

FIGURE 3. Distribution of Turn-Around Time for New, Full IRB Applications (cont.)



DESCRIPTION

This chart and the chart on the previous page show the frequency distribution of turn-around times for the review and approval of new full IRB applications. This chart shows the frequency distribution above the median (i.e., the frequency distribution for all turnaround times greater than 59.5 days). The figure on the previous page shows the frequency distribution for all turnaround times at or below the median of 59.5 days.

FIGURE 4. Time Required to Obtain Conditional Approval for Initial Applications Requiring Full IRB Review



DESCRIPTION

This chart compares the average time required to obtain Conditional Approval for initial applications requiring Full IRB review for the most recent four reporting periods. The vertical bars show the range of the turn-around time.

For a visualization of the process, click on the above flowchart.

FIGURE 5. Time Required to Prepare IRB Review Letters to Researchers





Description and analysis continued on the next page.

FIGURE 6. Time Required to Prepare IRB Review Letters to Researchers (cont.)



DESCRIPTION

These charts show, for the most recent four reporting periods, the average number of business days required to prepare and send two types of IRB review letters to researchers: deferral letters and conditional approval letters. The preparation process includes drafting the letter and then obtaining and incorporating feedback from the primary reviewer, the IRB committee chair, and other appropriate individuals before finalizing and sending the letter. The vertical bars show the range of turn-around times.

This analysis is an important step in examining key factors that contribute to overall turn-around time for IRB review and approval.

FIGURE 7. Distribution of Outcomes for the First Full IRB Review of Initial Applications



DESCRIPTION

The purpose of this chart is to show the distribution of outcomes from the first Full IRB review for initial applications, for the most recent four reporting periods. As shown, a small number of applications (ranging from 8% to 13%) received Full Approval at the first Full IRB review, meaning that no subsequent IRB review or action is required. Applications with a review outcome of Deferral (ranging from 27% to 45% in these reporting periods) must be reviewed again by the Full IRB after the researcher's response to the review letter is received. Applications with a review outcome of Conditional Approval (ranging from 44% to 65%) undergo an expedited review process (no Full IRB meeting) after the researcher's response to the review letter is received.

FIGURE 8. Time from Application Receipt to First Full IRB Review ("Agenda Date")



DESCRIPTION

This figure shows that an average of 21 business days elapsed between the time when an IRB application requiring full IRB review was received by HSD and the date when it was first reviewed by the full IRB.

Many activities occur during this time period, including:

- Assignment of the application to a specific IRB.
- Creation of a data record in the HSD database.
- Screening of the application (staff read the entire application packet, write a screening email to the researcher, receive and screen the researcher's response).
- Creation of the meeting agenda (prioritizing workload, assuring that a quorum will be present at the IRB meeting, identifying primary reviewers for each agenda item).
- Preparation and delivery of the agenda materials to the IRB members (staff scan all materials, including screening letters and responses; flash drives with scanned materials are delivered to IRB members).
- IRB members have about 5 days (per regulations) before the meeting to read and review the materials.

TABLES 2 AND 3. Turn-Around Time for Modifications to Studies Under Full Board Oversight

	Modifications Requiring Review by Convened IRB		
Reporting period	1/1/13 – 6/30/13	7/1/12 – 12/31/12	4/1/12 – 10/31/12
Median business days to completion of approval process**	24	23	24.5
Range	7 to 165	1 to 247	0-192
Number of modifications (n)	109	122	152

	Modifications Reviewed by Expedited Process		
Reporting period	1/1/13 – 6/30/13	7/1/12 – 12/31/12	4/1/12 – 10/31/12
Median business days to completion of approval process**	6	6	5
Range	0 to 117	0 to 168	0 to 232
Number of modifications (n)	958	847	1113

DESCRIPTION

Table 2 shows the turn-around time for modifications that required review by the full IRB (required when the change is substantive and may alter the risk and/or benefit to subjects) for the last three reporting periods. Table 3 shows the turn-around times for modifications reviewed by a Subcommittee of the IRB (allowed when a change is minor and will not alter the risk and/or benefit to subjects) for the last three reporting periods.

FIGURES 9. AND 10. Breakdown of Types of Modifications Requested (Studies Requiring Full Board Oversight)



*Listed in Order of Descending Frequency

DESCRIPTION

Figures 9 and 10 depict the distribution of the most common types of changes requested in modifications. Figure 9 displays the distribution for modifications reviewed by the full IRB. Figure 10 shows the distribution for modifications reviewed by an IRB Subcommittee.

FIGURE 10. Breakdown of Types of Modifications Requested (Studies Requiring Full Board Oversight, cont.)



*Listed in Order of Descending Frequency

FIGURE 11. New Full IRB Applications: How much of turn-around time (TAT) is IRB work time versus researcher response time?



DESCRIPTION

Using the average number of letters per study, and the median researcher response time for each letter type, we can estimate how many of the 59.5 business days it took to reach approval were due to HSD and IRB work time (31.5 days; 53%) compared to how many were due to the time researchers took to respond to HSD and IRB letters (28 days; 47%).

FIGURE 12. Overall Turn-Around Time for Initial Applications Receiving Minimal Risk Review



DESCRIPTION

This chart shows the average number of business days required to obtain Full Approval of new IRB applications undergoing Minimal Risk review for the most recent four reporting periods. The vertical bars show the range of turn-around times. While the median TAT increased slightly in this reporting period, the range decreased dramatically to well below the target, despite a substantial increase in volume.

For a visualization of the process, click on the above flowchart.

FIGURES 13. AND 14. Distribution of Turn-Around Time for New, Minimal Risk Applications



FIGURE 15. Distribution of Turn-Around Time for New, Minimal Risk Applications (cont.)



DESCRIPTION

This chart and the chart on the previous page show the frequency distribution of turn-around times for the review and approval of new full IRB applications. This chart shows the frequency distribution above the median (i.e., the frequency distribution for all turn-around times greater than 25 days). The figure on the previous page shows the frequency distribution for all turn-around times at or below the median of 25 days.

FIGURE 16. Turn-Around Time for Medical Records Review Applications



DESCRIPTION

This chart compares the turn-around time for “regular” Minimal Risk applications and applications for Medical Records Reviews. Research that involves the review of medical records, retrospective or prospective, and does not involve collecting any other information from or about the research subjects, is typically referred to as "Medical Records Review." Medical Records Review applications comprised about a third of this reporting periods total Minimal Risk applications, and took an average of 16 days to complete the approval process, compared with 23 days for regular Minimal Risk applications.

TABLE 4. Turn-Around Time for Modifications to Minimal Risk Studies

	Modifications for Minimal Risk Studies		
Reporting period*	1/1/13 – 6/30/13	7/1/12 – 12/31/12	4/1/12 – 10/31/12
Median business days to completion of approval process**	11	8	8
Range	0 to 175	0 to 161	0 to 241
Number of modifications (n)	558	473	553

DESCRIPTION
Table 4 reports the median turn-around time in business days for modifications to Minimal Risk studies for the last three reporting periods.

FIGURE 17. Breakdown of Types of Modifications Requested (Minimal Risk Studies)



*Listed in Order of Descending Frequency

DESCRIPTION

Figure 15 depicts the distribution of the most common types of changes requested in modifications to Minimal Risk studies.

FIGURE 18. Overall Turn-Around Time for Review of Exempt Applications



DESCRIPTION

This chart shows the average number of business days required to obtain an Exempt Status for the most recent four reporting periods. The vertical bars show the range of turn-around times. While the median increased slightly, the range decreased substantially during this reporting period.

For a visualization of the process, click on the above flowchart.

FIGURE 19. Distribution of Turn-Around Time for New Applications for Exempt Status



DESCRIPTION

This chart shows the wide frequency distribution of turn-around times for applications for Exempt status.

DEFINITIONS

Agenda Date
The date when an application requiring full IRB review is first reviewed by a full IRB. Also known as the "meeting date".

Approval
The Full IRB vote or subcommittee decision to approve an application, with no contingencies or conditions that must be met. Also referred to as Full Approval in this report to distinguish from Conditional Approval.

Approval Date
The date when the Full IRB voted or subcommittee decided to approve (or conditionally approve) an IRB application, except for exemption applications. For Certificates of Exemption, the approval date is the date when the IRB concurred with the exemption approval provided by the researcher's department chair.

Approval Packet
The packet of materials that the IRB sends to the researcher after an application has been fully approved. It includes the formal documentation of IRB approval, typically in a box on the application front page. The Approval Packet also includes the formally-approved and stamped copy of the consent form, which must be used with the research subjects.

Conditional Approval
The Full IRB or subcommittee decision to approve an application, subject to the fulfillment of minor conditions.

Deferral
The decision (vote) by a Full IRB that indicates the following: (1) the IRB has questions about the research that have bearing upon the risk/benefit analysis of the research; (2) the IRB requires significant additional information or clarification in order to understand specific parts of the application; and/or (3) the IRB is requesting changes to the research in order to address regulatory requirements or concerns arising from the risk/benefit analysis.

Exempt Status
Status granted to studies that involve no more than minimal risk and that fall into one or more of the six categories of "exemption" defined by federal regulations. Exempt studies do not require periodic (e.g., annual) re-review and the standard requirements for obtaining subjects' consent do not apply.

Follow-up Info Request
A request sent by HSD staff to the researcher requesting specific materials or information (e.g., clean, non-tracked version of consent form) that must be received prior to completion of the approval process.

Full IRB
A review of an application that is performed by a majority of members of the entire IRB (the full board). This level of review is required for all applications that involve more than minimal risk to subjects and that do not meet the federally-defined criteria for allowing review by a subcommittee of the IRB.

Median
The median is a summary measure of the "average" value or central tendency in a set of numbers. To calculate the median: all of the numbers are arranged from lowest value to highest value; the value in the middle is the median. In other words, the median is the point that divides the distribution of scores in half. We use the median instead of the mean because (1) medians are less affected by outlier values in distributions; and (2) turn-around time values are skewed distributions, which are better represented by the median.

Minimal Risk
The term used by the UW for reviews performed by an IRB subcommittee. Federal regulations use the term "expedited" review. It can be used only for applications that involve minimal risk and that meet certain federally-defined criteria.

Modification
Federal regulations require researchers to obtain IRB review and approval before making any changes to their alreaady-approved research . The request) is submitted on a Modification form.

Received Date
The date when the printed copies of the IRB application were received by the Human Subjects Division office.

Screening Request
A request sent by HSD staff to the researcher after receipt of a new application, to obtain missing information or clarification in order to either a) determine the level of review required (e.g., expedited versus exempt), or b) to better prepare the application for full IRB review.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

1) Why does it take so long to receive IRB approval?
2) Your Metrics Report says the median number of days to conditionally approve a Full IRB new application is 34. Why is my application taking longer?
3) Is there anything I can do to speed my application through the approval process?
4) Will these turn-around times improve in the future?
5) Is one IRB faster than another, and how do I get my application placed with that committee?
6) How many HSD staff work on a single application? Or, how many IRBs are there?
7) How was the baseline calculated?

1) Why does it take so long to receive IRB approval?

"Long" is relative, and conducting a quality review takes time. However, HSD has been working successfully to decrease the turn-around-time by improving its processes. For example, in 2004 (the last time period for which HSD has metrics), the median turn-around time for Minimal Risk applications was 37 business days, with a range of 0 – 327. A number of factors can add to the time required:

- Completeness of the initial application – did everything that is required for review come in with the application when first submitted to HSD and were all questions fully and appropriately answered?
- Complexity of the application – studies that involve multiple subject groups; use new methodologies and/or research techniques; require multiple stages and/or study sites; and/or raise significant ethical and/or safety issues can require additional time to review.
- Specific regulatory requirements – studies that involve vulnerable subjects or that use approaches for which there are specific regulatory requirements can require additional time to review to assure that all requirements are met by the researcher and the IRB.
- Applications that are deferred require preparation of, and response to, an often lengthy review letter, followed by a second review by a full IRB at one of its bi-weekly meetings.
- Applications that need to be transferred to a different level of review (for example, from Minimal Risk to Full IRB), or that have been submitted on the wrong type of application form.
- A considerable amount of available Minimal Risk review time is spent communicating with researchers about IRB applications that are eventually determined to not require IRB review because the described activities do not meet the federal regulatory definition of human subjects research.

The IRB received 2,034 new applications in 2008, and is responsible for continuing review and oversight of about 6,000 currently approved and active studies, each with its own IRB application.

2) Your Metrics Report says the median number of days to conditionally approve a Full IRB new application is 34. Why is my application taking longer?

"Median" means that half of the applications will take less than 34 business days to obtain Conditional Approval and half of the applications will take more than 34 days. Any individual application may be impacted by the factors described above in Question #1. Delays in responding to questions or requests from the IRB can also contribute to the overall time required for review.

3) Is there anything I can do to speed my application through the approval process?

Yes – the following recommendations can help to decrease the time required for review of your application:

- First and foremost, use the most current forms directly from the HSD web site (http://www.washington.edu/research/hsd/forms/) and read thoroughly the instructions on each form.
- If you have questions as you are completing an IRB application, contact an appropriate HSD administrator (see the HSD contact webpage) or send an email to hsdinfo@u.washington.edu with a

brief description of the research or issue and your question, or to request a telephone or in-person consult. This can improve the application and potential turn-around time.

- To the extent possible – make sure that your application is complete. Include all necessary documentation and complete all questions asked. If a question on the application does not apply to your research, at least indicate "NA" or "Not Applicable." Do not leave questions blank.
- When you receive questions or requests for additional information/materials from the IRB – respond as quickly and thoroughly as possible.
- If you are a student, make sure that you involve your faculty advisor in each step of the IRB submission process, including responding to requests and correspondence from the IRB.
- If you are a Faculty Advisor, be sure that you are involved in each step of your students' IRB submission process.
- Find out if there is someone in your department who is designated to assist colleagues with preparing IRB applications and consult with that person prior to submitting your application.

4) Will these turn-around times improve in the future?

HSD has been putting significant effort and resources into strategic planning and process improvement efforts designed to make the IRB review process more efficient, consistent, and transparent. These efforts have begun to produce results, as shown by comparing the metrics reported across our quarterly reports.

5) Is one IRB faster than another, and how do I get my application placed with that committee?

The review of one IRB is not necessarily faster than that of another. Over time, the average turn-around time across the IRBs is about the same. At any given point in time, each individual IRB is affected by increased or decreased volume of applications; complexity and quality of applications; staffing; and availability of IRB members. Applications requiring full IRB review are assigned to the IRBs on a rotating basis, with consideration given to the above factors, in order to facilitate appropriate reviews and optimal turn-around time. Minimal Risk applications are assigned to review teams based on the researcher's academic department.

6) How many HSD staff work on a single application? Or, how many IRBs are there?

There are seven IRBs at the UW for which HSD provides administrative support – four review biomedical research and three review social/behavioral research. The UW IRBs also have four subcommittees (Minimal Risk teams) that review Minimal Risk and Exemption applications. At least two HSD staff members typically work on each individual application, not including staff who do filing and data entry.

7) How was the baseline calculated?

The baseline for the turn-around time metrics for new applications requiring full IRB review, new applications for Minimal Risk review, and applications for Exempt status, was derived by tracking all new applications received between July 1, 2008 and December 31, 2008, until each reached a final status of either approved or closed. For those that reached a status of full approval, the turn-around time was calculated as the weekdays from the date received at HSD to the date the approval packet was sent to the researcher, whenever that occurred. As a result, both the median and the range for full IRB and Minimal Risk applications are higher for the baseline cohort than for the other reporting period cohorts, as the baseline includes more applications that took an exceptionally long time for review. For an explanation of reasons why review and approval can take longer than the median, please see Question 1 above in this section. Additionally, the applications included in the baseline will have been in earlier metrics reports, depending on when the approval packet was sent to researchers.

APPENDIX A – IRB PROCESS FLOW CHARTS

Turn-Around Time for Initial Applications Requiring Full IRB Review

Back to Report



Time Required to Obtain Conditional Approval for Initial Applications Requiring Full IRB Review

Back to Report



Overall Turn-Around Time for Initial Applications Receiving Minimal Risk Review

Back to Report



Time Taken by Researchers to Respond to Minimal Risk IRB Review

Back to Report



Overall Turn-Around Time for Review of Exemption Applications

Back to Report



Time Taken by Researchers to Respond to Exempt Review

Back to Report



Time Taken by Researchers to Respond to Screening Requests – New, Full Applications





Time Taken by Researchers to Respond to Screening Requests – New, Minimal Risk Applications

Time Taken by Researchers to Respond to Screening Requests – New Applications for Exempt Status