1. Faculty Senate Orientation.

Gerry Philipsen, Secretary of the Faculty, welcomed Senators to the first meeting of the academic year, with a special welcome to new Senators. In orienting the new Senators to the work of the Senate, he referred them to material distributed by Senate Chair Dan Luchtel, two weeks earlier, including background information and an introduction to Parliamentary Procedures. Philipsen emphasized the usefulness of being familiar with the information included in the packet and encouraged Senators to review it carefully.

Philipsen then drew Senators’ attention to an overhead projection of Faculty Code Section 13-20 (General Policy), which he suggested could be referred to as the University’s Declaration of Interdependence. This statement clearly acknowledges the concept of shared University management, with faculty joining administration in the decision-making and strategic planning required for the University to thrive. Also cited were Section 13-21 (Authorization for the Faculty to Share in the Formulation of Rules), Section 13-23 (Legislative Authority of the Faculty), Section 22-31 (The Senate – Purpose, Responsibility, Powers and Duties in General), and Section 22-32 (Senate Legislative Powers).

Philipsen concluded his comments with two practical matters: He explained how the Senate determines quorum, emphasizing the importance of Senators informing the Senate office beforehand if they cannot attend a Senate meeting. An “excused” absence from a Senate meeting does not count against the quorum, and will help make it possible for those who are able to attend the meeting to be able to do the business of the Senate. He also informed Senators that although they may send a colleague to take notes for them in a Senate meeting, these colleagues cannot be considered “proxies” and will not be allowed to vote.

2. Call to Order and Approval of Agenda.

Professor Dan Luchtel, Chair of the Faculty Senate, called the meeting to order at 2:50 p.m. After welcoming Senators to the first meeting of the year, the agenda was approved as written, and the Chair reminded Senators to identify themselves by name and departmental affiliation when speaking.

3. Introductory Comments.

“Good afternoon and welcome to the first Faculty Senate meeting of the 2007-2008 year. It looks like it will be busy year and I hope a productive year. I want to begin with some faculty issues that are priorities for me and I hope we will make some progress on these issues during the year.

Priority #1 is a restructured faculty salary policy. Last year’s Chair, Gail Stygall, formed a Salary Committee to work on salary policy and by the end of the academic year, the Senate Executive Committee came close to passing legislation. But after an extended discussion and with President Emmert’s input, it was decided to table further action. I am forming a new Senate Committee on Salary Policy to continue work on this issue with the goal that such a revised policy will satisfy the faculty, the administration, and the regents.

Priority #2 is improved childcare facilities at the University of Washington. The students have taken the lead on this issue and I urge the faculty to strongly support this effort. For faculty, childcare facilities have become a recruitment and retention issue. A hopeful indicator is that
one of the items on the State Supplemental Budget Request that the administration will be submitting to the State Legislature is expanded access to childcare.

Priority #3 is a review of the Faculty Grievance Procedure. The problem here may not be the procedure itself but faculty not knowing what needs to be done before filing a grievance. What may be the most useful would be the preparation of something like a Best Practices or a Frequently Asked Questions document that would be available online on the Faculty Senate website to help faculty understand grievance procedures, who to talk to, and the pros and cons of pursuing such a process.

Priority #4 is the appointment of a faculty member to the Board of Regents. J.W. Harrington, the Faculty Legislative Representative, will do the heavy lifting on this one. For the faculty, this is an issue about having a seat at the table. A bill was introduced during the last session of the State Legislature to appoint a faculty member to the Board of Regents. It died in committee but a compromise bill will be resubmitted this year.

Priority #5 is to begin a discussion about the structure of the Senate and the SEC, and possible ways to modify these bodies to stimulate more engaged input and debate by Senators. More on this in future Senate meetings.

Other issues will come up during the year, particularly those coming from the Faculty Councils. I have talked with the chairs of each of the fourteen Faculty Councils to discuss progress made last year and what the chairs want to accomplish this year. They will have plenty of issues to bring forward.

I have also talked with all of the Group Representatives and they likewise have issues that they would like to bring to the table. For example, an issue concerned with installation of seat belts in University-operated buses has recently generated notable support from Senators in the Medical School.


President Mark Emmert also welcomed Senators to the meeting, explaining that his report would be longer than usual since it was an unusually busy summer. He began his report by reviewing how the UW has fared in the various annual rankings of Universities at the national and international levels. He noted several where the UW had done very well, had increased its ranking over the previous year, or had regained ground lost last year.

He also mentioned the establishment of a “wall of fame” in Suzzallo Library for faculty members, similar to the one in Mary Gates Hall for students who have been given Rhodes scholarships and other honors.

The status of current searches for four deans, including Arts and Sciences, Pharmacy, Nursing and the School of Law, was reviewed.

The President then turned his attention to the proposal for a North Sound Campus, reviewing the history and background of the proposal as well as the current status. It will be a long process, with the UW serving as an “expert consultant” on what sort of a campus would best serve the prospective students in the State. He stressed the importance of being able to envision a fully developed institution in order to project the true cost of the proposal and to ensure its success.

Another issue that has come to the attention of the UW and the wider community is that of registered sex offenders in residence north of the Seattle campus. Although common sense would dictate that it’s not a good idea for this population to be in such close proximity to the fraternities, sororities and other student housing in that neighborhood, the issues are
complex, and the fact remains that there have been no incidents to date involving any of these sex offenders. The University continues to work with the Department of Corrections and the landlord who provides housing for this population to come up with a solution that is fair and a benefit to all involved.

The President concluded his remarks with a mention of the 2008 Supplemental Budget Proposal. This coming session is a short one, and budgets are usually not discussed during short sessions except for extraordinary circumstances. Nevertheless the UW is pursing a number of capital and operating budget requests.

Questions raised by faculty included:

From Eric Stern, Radiology, concerning plans for a new medical training facility in the state of Washington – the President remarked that he, too, was surprised to learn about the proposal. The proposal appears to be for a facility in Yakima for alternative medicine, so he does not see it as a potential “competitor.” The situation leads to further questions, however, and on-going concerns about the growing demand for increased enrollments in Health Sciences, given no possibility for expansion of facilities.

In response to a question about the sex offender situation, the President remarked that the rate of recidivism is quite high among sex offenders at all levels. But the fact remains that there have been no incidents involving UW students and this population in the District. He assured members of the Senate that this situation is being given close attention and priority.

From David Morris, Tacoma, regarding the North Sound Campus, whether it was really needed in the North Sound area and whether it would be based on the University California model – building a completely new and fully developed campus from the outset – the President remarked that the Working Group that is looking at data about the state needs for a campus with particular emphasis on science, technology and engineering is working very closely with businesses and industry in the area. With regard to the UC model of developing a fully formed institution from the outset, the President pointed out that such an institution would assume a local population of at least four to five thousand potential students. That would not be the case for any of the North Sound locations currently under consideration. The other questions that need to be addressed is how it would integrate (and not compete with) the Bothell campus, and how to ensure that funding such a campus would not come at the expense of funding for existing institutions of higher education.

5. Report from the Senate Committee on Planning and Budgeting.

SCPB Chair Gail Stygall reported that the Senate Committee on Planning and Budgeting has met every Monday since the quarter started. The overall goal for the Committee in the Autumn Quarter is to make sure that all members are up-to-date on current conditions for working on the actual budget starting in January. Provost Phyllis Wise and she planned the dates and topics before the Autumn Quarter started. She expressed appreciation to Acting Vice Provost for Planning and Budgeting, Gary Quarfoth, for the quality of the materials he has provided so far on the budget.

On October 1st, the Committee reviewed the Supplemental Budget Request, which went to the Regents on October 18th. A copy of the request can be found at the Regents’ website under meetings. Document F-8 is the supplemental request.

On October 8th and 15th, SCPB was briefed by Gary Quarfoth and then discussed the overall budget, in relation to the state and then in relation to the UW itself. The Committee also discussed overall strategies on indirect costs. On October 22nd, the Committee began a discussion reviewing the salary policy decisions from last year’s budget and in particular members examined the data on schools and colleges’ compliance with the Provost’s
instructions on the 2% and 3%. As a part of that discussion, the Committee is considering putting together a joint faculty administrative committee to plan a long term salary policy.

Future meetings will take up university investments, Students First and Husky Promise, and a meeting on the Governor’s proposed budget which should be released in mid-December. In January, SCPB will offer a budget requests for the actual university budget before the first straw budget is completed. At the November Senate meeting Stygall will report on the second meeting on last year’s budget and further plans for the winter quarter.


By way of introduction to new Senators, Faculty Legislative Representative (FLR) JW Harrington began his remarks with a review of the nature of the legislative session and the role of the FLR. His role as FLR includes education and advocacy toward legislators, committee staff, the Governor’s staff and the Higher Education Coordinating Board (HECB). He is a liaison with the Special Committee on Legislative Matters, the UW administration, undergraduate and graduate student representative, the Council o Faculty Representatives, the Council of Presidents and United Faculty of Washington (the community college faculty union).

Issues to be monitored this year include the proposed North Sound campus, follow-up legislation defining “high-demand” fields and efforts to fill them, legislative debate and perhaps approval of the HECB’s “Strategic Master Plan.”

Harrington then outlined his preliminary agenda for the 2008 session. This will be finalized once he has completed requisite consultations and will probably include:

- UW’s supplemental budget request, including recruitment and retention funds, increasing the support for no-resident graduate-student tuition, and the three research initiatives (domestic health metrics and evaluation; e-Science Institute; Ports, People and Puget Sound).
- Faculty representation, especially on Boards of Regents and Trustees.
- The laying of groundwork for the 2009 legislative action regarding: faculty salaries; punitive treatment of salary increases from local funds; and limitation of paid professional leaves to 4% of full-time-equivalent faculty members.

Finally, Harrington appealed to Senators to suggest additional members for the Special Committee on Legislative Matters and to volunteer for or suggest others for a “rapid response team” of colleagues who will commit to near-immediate production of messages to key legislators at crucial moments during the session.


Chair Luchtel explained that item 7 on the agenda lists actions taken by the Executive Committee during its meeting of October 8, 2007. These include:

- Minutes of the May Senate and SEC meetings were approved via e-mail over the summer by the SEC acting on behalf of the Senate;
- Group concerns resurfaced regarding the issues of Faculty Effort Certification and Seatbelts in UW Shuttle Vehicles;
- Formation of an ad hoc Committee on Academic Quality and Rigor was approved [Exhibit A].

8. Announcements.
Chair Luchtel explained that nominations for Vice Chair of the Faculty Senate are being sought. The successful candidate will serve as Vice Chair during the 2008-2009 academic year, as Chair of the Faculty Senate during the 2009-2010 academic year, and as Chair of the Senate Committee on Planning and Budgeting during the 2010-2011 academic year. The ideal candidate would be an accomplished senior faculty member who has served in leadership roles within the University and who has the breadth of understanding to speak for the Faculty across this large, complex university. Interested candidates or those wanting to nominate a colleague should contact the Nominating Committee immediately, c/o Nancy Bradshaw in the Faculty Senate Office. The Nominating Committee expects to recommend candidates to the Senate Executive Committee at a winter quarter meeting.

In addition, the Senate Executive Committee is currently seeking applications and nominations for the position of Secretary of the Faculty. The term for the new Secretary begins summer 2008. The Secretary of the Faculty oversees the operations of the Faculty Senate and Faculty Councils, manages the faculty’s adjudicative proceedings, serves as Secretary of the Faculty Senate and in this capacity records meetings of the Senate and the Senate Executive Committee, and oversees additions and changes to the University Handbook, and particularly to the Faculty Code. To carry out these duties successfully, the Secretary of the Faculty should be an experienced faculty member with tenure who displays an informed interest in faculty affairs and university governance. Letters of interest, application or nomination should be sent to the Secretary of the Faculty Nominating Committee, c/o Nancy Bradshaw in the Faculty Senate Office. The Nominating Committee will begin considering applications and nominations on November 30, and hopes to forward its recommendations to the Senate Executive committee in January.

Luchtel also announced that to raise funds for graduate student fellowships and also showcase the faculty-graduate student teamwork, the Graduate School is currently recruiting faculty to be members of the “Graduate Students First Team,” a group that will walk or run in the 2007 Seattle Half Marathon on November 25. The team hopes to raise $100,000 in gifts and pledges; the grand total will receive a 50% match from the UW Students First Initiative. Interested faculty should contact Erika Kreger, Director of Development in the Graduate School. Further details are in the packet of information you received when entering today’s meeting.

And finally, Luchtel reminded Senators that President Emmert is hosting a Faculty Senate reception immediately following the Senate meeting. It will be held in the Allen Atrium of the Computer Science and Engineering Building.

9 Requests for Information.

Chair Dan Luchtel noted that there had been a request for information concerning a proposal for the creation of a new College of the Environment. He introduced Phyllis Wise, Provost and Executive Vice President, who addressed the question.

Provost Wise began her presentation by explaining that these are on-going discussions, and so far, no concrete proposal has yet emerged from them. The UW has more than 400 faculty members involved in environmental issues and discussions over the past two years have focused on being able to leverage all this strength in such a way as to create more opportunities for research, teaching and service. This led to the decision to convene a charrette in order to bring as many ideas as possible together in order to see if a direction or focus would become clear. From this discussion, three questions emerged:

- What are the major issues?
- What is the role of the academy in addressing these issues?
- How could this University best address these issues?
Although there is currently no successful model for this, a College of the Environment might be most effective way to do this. Continuing discussions with large and small groups are exploring what this College should and should not include.

President Emmert addressed the first question – how the UW currently compares with other institutions on environmental issues. He referred to his introductory remarks, which included a report of a favorable ranking of the University in terms of its environmental ethics. Although there’s more to be done, the President feels the University has a good solid “B” as far as its efforts to reduce its carbon footprint and conserving resources are concerned. He feels there’s more to be done in partnering with local industry and government to be more effective in this regard.

Provost Wise emphasized that discussions about organization are embryonic – all options are still on the table but would definitely be highly interdisciplinary.

10. Nominations and Appointments

Nominations and appointments adopted by the SEC, acting for the Senate over the summer, were listed in [Exhibit B].

Nominations for Faculty Councils and Committees were approved as listed in [Exhibit C].

11. Memorial Resolution

Vice Chair David Lovell presented the Memorial resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED that the minutes of this meeting record the sorrow of the entire faculty upon its loss by death of these friends and colleagues:

Visiting Lecturer Viola Bird of Librarianship who died on June 7, 2007 after having served the University since 1953.

Acting Assistant Professor Robert Francois of Electrical Engineering who died on April 30, 2007 after having served the University since 1977.

Associate Professor Emeritus Pia Friedrich of Romance Language & Literature who died on October 1, 2007 after having served the University since 1960.

Associate Professor Daniel Gunther of Pediatrics who died on September 30, 2007 after having served the University since 1998.

Clinical Assistant Professor Homer Hartzell of Radiology who died on May 6, 2007 after having served the University since 1948.

Professor Emeritus Clifford Herman of Surgery who died on August 3, 2007 after having served the University since 1977.

Assistant Professor Mike Hovis of Physical and Health Education who died on June 28, 2007 after having served the University since 1967.

Professor Emeritus Chih-Chi Hsu of Electrical Engineering who died on July 11, 2007 after having served the University since 1958.

Clinical Professor Emeritus Roger Johnson of Ophthalmology who died on August 6, 2007 after having served the University since 1949.
Professor Emeritus Victor Klee of Mathematics who died on August 18, 2007 after having served the University since 1953.

Professor Emeritus Otto Larsen of Sociology who died on May 20, 2007 after having served the University since 1949.

Clinical Instructor Albert Lebenzon of Ophthalmology who died on July 2, 2007 after having served the University since 1968.

Clinical Professor Ralph Luce of Pediatrics who died on March 14, 2007 after having served the University since 1950.

Lecturer William McKinley of Marketing who died on July 5, 2007 after having served the University since 1991.

Professor Emeritus William Rey of Germanics who died on May 7, 2007 after having served the University since 1950.

Clinical Adjunct Professor Anders Sola of Medicine who died on August 23, 2007 after serving the University since 1945.

Professor Emeritus Howard Whisler of Biology who died on September 16, 2007 after having served the University since 1963.

Clinical Assistant Professor Robert Wills of Biobehavioral Nursing and Health Systems who died on May 10, 2007 after having served the University since 1968.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Senate Chair be directed to communicate to the immediate survivors the action taken, together with the condolences and sympathy of the faculty.

Chair Dan Luchtel invited the Senate to approve the resolution by a standing vote.

12. New Business

a. Class A Legislation – First Consideration [Exhibit D]
   Jan Sjåvik, Chair, Faculty Council on Faculty Affairs.
   Title: Procedures for Removal of Faculty Senate Officers and the Secretary of the Faculty.
   Action: Conduct first review of proposal to submit this legislation to the Faculty for approval or Rejection

After a motion to approve this legislation was presented by Vice Chair Lovell, Faculty Council on Faculty Affairs Chair Jan Sjåvik facilitated in conducting the first review of this proposal.

Sjåvik began by reciting the history of legislation approved last year, changing the manner in which the Secretary of the Faculty is appointed. Once that legislation had been approved, it became clear that there should also be a mechanism for removing someone from this office (as well as from the offices of the Chair and Vice Chair of the Faculty Senate, should that become necessary.

Michael Forman (Tacoma) began the discussion by making a correction to the legislation attached to the agenda. The second line should read “no fewer than twenty-five…” instead of “no less than twenty-five…”

This led to a discussion of why the number twenty-five was chosen. Sjåvik explained that after due consideration, both the FCFA and the SEC decided that it seemed like a number
that would be high enough to discourage frivolous actions, but not too high to discourage action when action would be indicated.

In response to a question about mechanisms for finding replacements for officers removed by this process, Sjávik assured the Senate that those mechanisms are in place in other parts of the Faculty Code.

The motion was approved.

b. Class A Legislation – First Consideration [Exhibit E]
   Gerry Philipsen, Secretary of the Faculty
   Title: Proposed housekeeping changes to Volume Two, Part 2, Section 22 of the Faculty Code.
   Action: Conduct first review of proposal to submit this legislation to the Faculty for approval or Rejection

After a motion to approve this legislation was presented by Vice Chair Lovell, Secretary of the Faculty Gerry Philipsen facilitated in conducting the first review of this proposal.

Philipsen explained that these changes simply brought the Code into compliance with current reality. There was no discussion.

The motion was approved.


The meeting was adjourned at 4:15 p.m.

PREPARED BY: Gerry Philipsen, Secretary of the Faculty
APPROVED BY: Dan Luchtel, Chair, Faculty Senate
Formation of an *ad hoc* Committee on Academic Quality and Rigor

This committee will report to the Senate Executive Committee with oversight by the Faculty Council on Academic Standards and the Faculty Council on Instructional Quality.

Committee Charge:

- Evaluate the need for the regular review of courses at the University level;
- determine appropriate criteria for evaluating appropriate level of academic challenge in courses;
- develop a method for identifying heavily-subscribed independent study courses, as well as a procedure for evaluating the appropriateness of the amount of credit for such courses which have not had their actual content approved at the University level.

Committee Membership:

- 2 members representing the Faculty Council on Academic Standards (one current; one past)
- 2 members representing the Faculty Council on Instructional Quality (one current; one past)
- 1 member representing the Office of Academic Assessment

Committee Chair and members will be appointed at the November SEC meeting.
Acting for the Faculty Senate between Spring Term 2007 and Fall Term 2007, the following nominees were approved for appointment to University Faculty Councils and Senate Committees.

Faculty Member Appointments to University Faculty Councils and Senate Committees.

**Academic Standards**

**Educational Technology**

**Faculty Affairs**

**Multicultural Affairs**

**Research**

**Student Affairs**

**University Facilities and Services**

**University Libraries**

**University Relations**
Stuart Sutton, Group 1, Information School, for a term September 16, 2007 – September 15, 2010.

**Women in Academia**
Cindy Simmons, Group 2, Communication, for a term September 16, 2007 – September 15, 2010.

**Adjudication Panel**

**Advisory Committee on Faculty Code and Regulations**
Sandy Silberstein, Group 1, English, for a term September 16, 2007 – September 15, 2010.

**Senate Committee on Planning and Budgeting**
### ASUW Ex officio Members of Faculty Councils (for a one-year term, ending June 15, 2008)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council</th>
<th>Representative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic Standards</td>
<td>Jenny Hahn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits and Retirement</td>
<td>Andrew Everett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Outreach</td>
<td>Inigo Esteban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Technology</td>
<td>Anne Byrne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Affairs</td>
<td>Jenny Hahn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional Quality</td>
<td>Jenny Hahn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicultural Affairs</td>
<td>Tyson Johnston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>Anne Byrne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Affairs</td>
<td>Jenny Hahn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tri-Campus Policy</td>
<td>Shawn Fischer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Facilities and Services</td>
<td>Jenifer Pesicka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Libraries</td>
<td>Nataly Bankson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Relations</td>
<td>Nataly Bankson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women in Academia</td>
<td>Selma Dillsi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Professional Staff Organization Ex Officio Members of Faculty Councils (for a one-year term, ending June 15, 2008)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council</th>
<th>Representative</th>
<th>Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic Standards</td>
<td>Anita Rocha</td>
<td>Michelle Trudeau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits and Retirement</td>
<td>Marilyn Gray</td>
<td>Marjorie Reeves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Outreach</td>
<td>Robert Corbett</td>
<td>Lavelle Brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Technology</td>
<td>Sharon Minton</td>
<td>Elizabeth Campbell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Affairs</td>
<td>Shelby Fritz</td>
<td>Lynn Bazarnic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional Quality</td>
<td>Namura Nkeze</td>
<td>Paul Ford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicultural Affairs</td>
<td>Bob Roseth</td>
<td>Judith Yarrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>Karen Russell</td>
<td>Ethan Allen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Affairs</td>
<td>Carrie Perrin</td>
<td>Brooke Miller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tri-Campus Policy</td>
<td>Robert Corbett</td>
<td>Lynda West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Facilities and Services</td>
<td>Mark Schoen</td>
<td>Paul Zuchowski</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Libraries</td>
<td>Ellen Barker</td>
<td>Jeanette Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Relations</td>
<td>Scott Macklin</td>
<td>Tom Nash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women in Academia</td>
<td>Don Berg</td>
<td>Marjorie Reeves</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2007-2010 Faculty Member Appointments to University Faculty Councils and Senate Committees:

**Faculty Council on Educational Technology**

**Faculty Council on Multicultural Affairs**


**Faculty Council on Research**

**Faculty Council on Tri-Campus Policy**

**Faculty Council on University Facilities and Services**

**Adjudication Panel**


**Senate Committee on Planning and Budgeting**

**Representative members of Faculty Council and Committees:**
Nominate for Senate appointment, effective immediately, representative members of Faculty Councils and committees for terms ending September 15, 2008 with voting rights to be determined by the SEC through the Faculty Councils:

**Representatives of the Retirement Association:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council</th>
<th>Representative</th>
<th>Department/School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benefits and Retirement</td>
<td>J. Ray Bowen</td>
<td>Mechanical Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>David Foster</td>
<td>Bioengineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Affairs</td>
<td>Edgar Winans</td>
<td>Anthropology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tri-Campus Policy</td>
<td>Bill Weitkamp</td>
<td>Physics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Representatives of the Associated Librarians of the University of Washington:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council</th>
<th>Representative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic Standards</td>
<td>Cynthia Fugate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits &amp; Retirement</td>
<td>Charles Chamberlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Outreach</td>
<td>Lauren Ray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Technology</td>
<td>Anjanette Young</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Affairs</td>
<td>Julie Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional Quality</td>
<td>John Holmes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicultural Affairs</td>
<td>Harry Murphy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>Susanne Redalje</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Affairs</td>
<td>Kathleen Collins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tri-Campus Policy</td>
<td>Charles Lord</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Facilities &amp; Services</td>
<td>Paula Walker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Libraries</td>
<td>Deepa Banerjee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Relations</td>
<td>John Bolcer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women in Academia</td>
<td>Pamela Yorks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Representative of the Professional Staff Association:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council</th>
<th>Representative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Educational Technology</td>
<td>Bayta Maring (replacing Elizabeth Campbell as alternate)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 22-57. Procedures for Removal of Faculty Senate Officers and the Secretary of the Faculty

A. The Faculty Senate Chair, the Senate Vice-Chair, and the Secretary of the Faculty may each be removed from office during their term of service.

B. The removal procedure is initiated by the submission to the Senate Executive Committee of a petition signed by no less fewer than twenty-five voting members of the Faculty Senate. Upon receipt of such a petition, the Chair of the Senate Executive Committee shall immediately provide a copy of the petition to the named officer. The Senate Executive Committee at its next scheduled meeting shall place consideration of the petition on the agenda of the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Faculty Senate. The officer named in the petition shall have the right to submit a written rebuttal of the petition to the Senate Executive Committee. The petition and the rebuttal, if one has been submitted, shall be distributed with the meeting agenda to the members of the Faculty Senate.

C. When the petition comes before the Faculty Senate, a spokesperson for the petitioners and the responding faculty officer or his or her designee shall be provided an opportunity to address the Faculty Senate and answer questions. The petition shall fail unless approved by two-thirds or more of the voting members of the Faculty Senate present.

Section 22-58. Office of University Committees.

Rationale: Currently the Faculty Code contains no procedures for removal of faculty officers. A recent change in the appointment procedures for the Secretary of the Faculty, who previously served at the pleasure of the President of the University but who is now appointed by the Senate Executive Committee and confirmed by the Faculty Senate, made it desirable to establish such procedures. These procedures are drafted to apply to all faculty officers with appointments exceeding one year.
Proposed Housekeeping Changes
Volume Two, Part 2, Section 22 of the University Handbook

Section 22-41. Composition of the Senate.

D. Deans of schools and colleges and the Director of Libraries, Dean of University Libraries who are not elected members of the Senate, and the presidents of the Associated Students of the University of Washington and the Graduate and Professional Student Senate shall be *ex officio* members of the Senate with right to speak but without vote.

Section 22-42. Establishment of Faculty Groups

Group Seven:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anesthesiology</th>
<th>Neurological Surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biochemistry</td>
<td>Neurology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioengineering</td>
<td>Obstetrics and Gynecology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological Structure</td>
<td>Ophthalmology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biostatistics</td>
<td>Orthopaedics and Sports Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparative Medicine</td>
<td>Otolaryngology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental &amp; Occupational Health Sci.</td>
<td>Pathobiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidemiology</td>
<td>Pathology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Medicine</td>
<td>Pediatrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genome Sciences</td>
<td>Pharmacology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Health</td>
<td>Physiology and Biophysics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Services</td>
<td>Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunology</td>
<td>Radiation Oncology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Medicine</td>
<td>Radiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Education and Biomedical Informatics</td>
<td>Rehabilitation Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical History and Ethics</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine</td>
<td>Urology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbiology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rationale:** These changes reflect the current reality.