Minutes
Senate Executive Committee Meeting
Monday, November 15, 2010, 2:30 p.m.
142 Gerberding

Guests: Pat Dobel, Bill Rorabaugh, Scott Woodward, Carol Niccolls, Doug Wadden, William Dow
Absent: Johnson, Lehman, Lidstrom

1. Call to Order and Approval of Agenda.
   The meeting was called to order at 2:33 by Chair JW Harrington. Secretary of the Faculty Marcia Killien moved to reverse the order of Agenda items 9A and 9B. There were no objections and the motion was approved.

   Harrington provided an overview of the meeting agenda and discussed how the changes to meeting format and agenda seem to be working. Harrington then asked for questions. Janelle Taylor asked a question regarding Exhibit A. She inquired about clarity on the issue of mutual references in the Faculty Code and Handbook and possible legislation. She also wanted to know what would happen if the mutual references were not consistent. Harrington clarified the role of the SEC and the process to be taken later in the meeting.

   Interim President Wise explained that she has been meeting with state legislators, and will be meeting with Pierce County legislators in Tacoma this evening. She is continuing her learning and listening tour, and in January plans to meet with UW Department Chairs. President Wise is spending time working on the University’s legislative agenda and there is hope that the Council of Presidents will be on the same page this session. President Wise spoke of the need to balance listening and educating legislators as to what another large budget cut to the University would do. Most of her attention has been spent on the legislative agenda, 2y2d, and meeting with external stakeholders. President Wise has also been working with GPSS President Sarah Reyneveld regarding the proposed changes to the out-of-state waiver for professional and graduate students.

   SCPB Chair Balick asked about the State’s budget deficit being proportional to California’s deficit. President Wise shared that California increased tuition 17% for fall quarter and another 17% in winter quarter. There were many protests, and people went to the legislature and were heard. Governor Schwarzenegger increased funding for the Universities and tuition will now only increase 8%.

   President Wise was asked how the passage of the Eyman Initiative (I-1052) would affect tuition. President Wise explained that tuition is not a tax, and therefore not subject to the initiative. Balick then asked about fees and President Wise said that last session the UW needed approval to increase fees.

   a. Report of the Secretary of the Faculty. [Exhibit B]
   b. Report of the Chair of the Senate Committee on Planning and Budgeting. [Exhibit C]
   c. Report of the Faculty Legislative Representative.
   d. Report of the Faculty Athletic Representative: Scott Woodward, Athletic Director, Husky Stadium Renovation. [Exhibit D]

   Pat Dobel, Faculty Athletic Representative, asked Scott Woodward, Director of Athletics, to address the Husky Stadium renovation project. Woodward gave a brief history of the project. The projected cost of construction is $205 million. In response to questions from SEC members, Woodward said there would be funds to provide for maintenance of the facility, in accord with University policy. He discussed that the move to Quest Field is for one season plus one game, and provided his opinion that a permanent move to that facility was unwise because very few schools have had successful football programs while playing off campus and also that number of
community events that take place at Husky Stadium. There is a proposal to move the student section. Woodward said that two senior associates have been working with the student governments and Vice President of Student Life Eric Godfrey. GPSS President Sarah Reyneveld explained that they have been engaged on the matter.

When asked about the potential negative impact of building the stadium on state funding for the University, Woodward indicated the situation is being carefully monitored to avoid such an impact. President Wise shared that she spoke with Senator-elect Scott White, and that at this point he does not believe this will be a concern. Woodward said he hopes that athletics does well enough in the future that they will be able to give back revenues to the University. One SEC member commented that she was happy to hear the Athletic Director talk in the press recently about the importance of funding universities. Woodward apologized for the timing of his remarks, but reiterated his support for the University and higher education.

Harrington indicated that there is a great deal of information on the recent Regents’ agenda regarding the stadium project.

e. Faculty Council Issues. [Exhibit E]

5. Invited Guests.
There were no invited guests.

6. Consent Agenda.
Approval of October 11, 2010 Senate Executive Committee Minutes.
Approval of October 28, 2010 Faculty Senate Minutes.
Approval of Nominees for Faculty Councils and Committees. [Exhibit F]
Approval of the December 2, 2010, Faculty Senate Agenda. [Exhibit G]

The consent agenda was approved without discussion.

7. Announcements.
Killien reminded everybody that nominations for the Vice Chair of the Faculty Senate are being accepted through Nancy Bradshaw. The Vice Chair search committee will be bringing nominations to the Faculty Senate early in winter quarter.

8. Unfinished Business. [Exhibit H]
Action: Approve Nominees and Determine Voting Rights for Ex-officio Members of the University Faculty Councils.

Harrington gave the background on the agenda item, explaining that the SEC is supposed to determine voting rights for ex-officio members of the Faculty Councils. Killien reviewed events of the last SEC meeting in which she was asked to solicit the Faculty Council chairs about their preferred voting status of ex-officio members and their rationale. The results are part of Exhibit H, which also included the nomination of ex-officio members with the voting status based on the requests of the various Faculty Council Chairs.

A motion to accept the nominees as presented in Exhibit H was made by Rich Christie, Chair of the Faculty Council on Faculty Affairs, and was seconded.

Discussion included debate on whether non-faculty should have voting rights on councils, the relative weight of Faculty Council chair recommendations, how voting rights might influence ex officio participation in councils, and whether the voting rights decision could stand for more than one year. Mićeal Vaughan, Chair of the Advisory Committee on Faculty Code and Regulations, explained that this year’s SEC cannot constrain the nominations and decisions of future SECs.

Buck then moved to amend the motion to strike second sentence making the voting rights permanent unless changed by the SEC. Vaughan seconded the motion.
After discussion and amendment the motion passed.

   a. Official Request for Code Interpretation of the mutually referential language in the Handbook Volume Four, Part 9, Ch. 1, Sec. 5.B.1. and the Faculty Code Ch. 28-32 and 28-35. [Exhibit I]

   **Discussion:** Refer to the Advisory Committee on Faculty Code and Regulations.
   Harrington introduced the item. He said that he proposes to refer the matter to Advisory Committee on Faculty Code and Regulations with a response due by beginning of January. A motion was made by Buck and seconded by Christie to refer to the Advisory Committee on Faculty Code and Regulations, a request for a code interpretation of the mutually referential language in the Handbook Volume Four, Part 9, Ch. 1, Sec. 5.B.1. and the Faculty Code Ch. 28-32 and 28-35.

   Vaughan gave an overview of the situation and suggested that if there was a conflict, either the Code could be changed, or there could be a request to a change to the Executive Order.

   The motion was passed unanimously.

   b. Official Request for Code Interpretation of the voting rights of Presidential Designees on Faculty Councils in the Faculty Code, Volume Two, Part IV, Chapter 41, section 41-34.B and its bearing on Volume Two, Part II, Chapter 21, Section, 21-61.B.

   **Action:** Move to accept the Advisory Committee on Faculty Code and Regulations Code interpretation of the voting rights of Presidential Designees on Faculty Council.

   Killien shared some history as to why this issue is coming to the SEC. In response to a Faculty Council Chair survey regarding voting rights for *ex-officio* members, some chairs recommended voting rights for Presidential Designees. She informally asked Vaughan, chair of the Advisory Committee on Faculty Code and Regulations for clarification on the matter. Since Presidential Designees are not nominated, nor subject to approval from the SEC, the SEC cannot give them voting rights. Killien then moved to request a formal code interpretation by the Advisory Committee on Faculty Code and Regulations to clarify the voting rights of the presidential designees on the University Faculty Councils.

   After moved and seconded, the motion passed unanimously.

   Vaughan began the report by indicating that the Code was not clear on this matter. He went on to present two possible options for SEC to consider: 1) the SEC can interpret the Code to mean that Presidential Designees serve without vote, or 2) the SEC can send the issue to FCFA to propose Class A legislation that would clarify the situation. Taylor asked if the Advisory Committee had a recommended option; Vaughan replied that they do not.

   Vaughan mentioned a point made previous to the meeting by Susan Jeffords, Presidential Designee from UW Bothell to FCTCP, that it would be unusual if designees had a vote because all legislation depends on the Presidents final vote.

   After discussion and amendment a motion stating that the SEC interprets the Faculty Code to say that Presidential Designees are ex-officio without vote unless explicitly otherwise stated in the Faculty Code was approved unanimously.

10. Adjournment.

    Schaufelberger moved for adjournment at 4:07 PM, which was seconded by Stenkamp.

Prepared by: Marcia Killien, Secretary of the Faculty
Approved by: JW Harrington, Chair of the Faculty Senate
Adjudications and Academic Misconduct
At the 28 October Senate meeting I responded to the request about actions relevant to adjudications and outcomes of charges of academic misconduct.

On the 27th, I asked the President to compile:
- The basis for President Emmert’s declaration that the panel decision regarding the Aprikyan case was arbitrary and capricious; and
- The number of decanal findings of scientific or scholarly misconduct in the past 10 years, by academic unit, and by nature of the outcome.
I suggested a 30-day turnaround on this.

On the 27th, I asked the Secretary of the Faculty to compile:
- Adjudication decisions by total number and academic year, and Presidential responses, since the last such compilation, which I understand was undertaken during the McCormick presidency.
She’s agreed to this, with a 30-day turnaround.

At the November SEC meeting, I will ask the SEC to interpret the meaning of the mutual references in the Handbook [Vol.4, Part 9, Ch.1, Sec.5.B.1] and the Code [Ch. 28-32 & 28-35] regarding decanal findings of academic misconduct on the one hand and formal adjudication on the other.

With that ruling in hand, during Winter Quarter the SEC will discuss whether the FCFA or the FCR need to look at the possibility of proposing legislation that would clarify or modify the nature or the relationship of these processes.

The role of the Senate is to ask questions and to legislate; the role of the SEC includes interpreting the Code and suggesting issues for Councils to propose; the task of determining whether the Code was followed is the task for adjudication, if necessary.

Faculty Councils
At the end of October, the Senate leadership met with the chairs of our Faculty Councils, so that everyone could share what they’re working on, to encourage them to post information about their Councils’ activities in University Week and on the Senate blog, and to reiterate that they’re welcome to attend SEC meetings. Based on that meeting, I don’t foresee this year being as legislation-heavy as last year, but we shall see.

Elected Faculty Councils
In early November the Senate leadership had lunch with the chairs or representatives of 14 of our Elected Faculty Councils, to share information back and forth about this year’s activity of the Faculty Senate, SCPB, and the faculty councils in schools, colleges, and campuses. Of the 14, several noted that they were heavily involved in their deans’ or chancellors’ scenario building for budget cuts; two noted that they were not involved in such information sharing or discussions.

Weekday Football
I’ve been interviewed by three news outlets about the Thursday 18 November football game on the Seattle campus. I’ve emphasized several points (that’s dangerous, I know, but I’ve had the good fortune of dealing with good reporters and, I presume, their editors):
1. In addition to the logistical nightmares for faculty, students, staff, patients, game-goers, and commuters who have nothing to do with the University, many faculty members are concerned about the symbolism of usurping university facilities on a weekday.
2. My own greatest concern and interest is in the process – can we learn from this experience how much planning is needed for any major event at the University? Can we start the operational planning a great deal earlier?
3. The reaction of the community points up the value of the independent faculty and student sectors of a university, who can speak vociferously about an issue and call attention to the attendant problems.
4. I’ve heard some good suggestions from colleagues:
   a) Focus on September for weekday/night football.
   b) During the academic term, hold weekday/night games at Qwest Field.
   c) For term-time, weekday/night games Husky Stadium games, keep student and faculty parking, and arrange alternatives for the football guests.
   d) Involve more of the affected constituencies earlier.
   e) Announce widely in early September, before Autumn syllabi and schedules are set.

Presidential Search
Since the last SEC meeting I’ve attended 7 open forums that the Advisory Search Committee on the Presidency has held on all three of our academic campuses. In addition, the Committee held forums in Spokane and in Everett. In sum, people expect a great deal from our next President. At one gathering, Dean Testy, chair of the committee, promised that we would post some summary of the comments.

Comments To Regents
At the October meeting of the Board of Regents, I commented briefly on the proposed repeal of the graduate, non-resident tuition waiver, and on the November 18th football game. I then focused on the characteristics of universities that have allowed them to be such long-lasting institutions, the tensions caused by those characteristics, and the challenges that these institutions face in the current economic, political, and demographic contexts. Those remarks are online at http://www.washington.edu/faculty/facsen/remarks/regents_102110.pdf.

Communication
I will continue to post informal summaries of SEC and Senate meetings on the Senate blog, to help communicate about our activities. Fee free to comment on those summaries, and to use them as fodder for your own communication with the Senators and colleagues you represent.
Report of the Secretary of the Faculty
Marcia Killien, Professor, Family and Child Nursing

1. Senator Miceal Vaughn has been elected to the Senate Executive Committee, to complete the unexpired term of Bill Reinhardt, who resigned.

2. The Faculty Council Chairs have participated in a survey regarding ex-officio member voting rights. Results are attached to this agenda. Their recommendations will be considered by SEC at the November 15 meeting.

3. The Senate Leadership met on October 29, 2010 with the Faculty Council Chairs and on November 8, 2010 with the Chairs of the Elected Faculty Councils of Schools, Colleges, and Campuses for coordination and information sharing.

4. Nominations are open for the position of Vice-Chair of the Faculty Senate for 2011-12. Please send nominations to Nancy Bradshaw by November 19.

Members of the Nominating Committee are:
Marcia Killien, Committee Chair and Secretary of the Faculty
Susan Astley, Epidemiology, Faculty Senate Vice Chair
Bill Erdly, UW Bothell, Chair, Faculty Council on Tri Campus Policy
Gerry Philipsen, Communication, Past Faculty Senate Chair
John Schaufelberger, Construction Management, Chair, Faculty Council on Academic Standards

Submitted: 11/10/10
1. We are continuing to develop a set of principles for crafting next biennium's academic budgets.

2. An examination of proposed metrics for program evaluation. The Board of Deans & Chancellors has recommended a list of metrics (to be used in concert with traditional narratives) for program evaluation. SCPB has examined the value of metrics as a tool for program evaluation, and how the data should be used and disseminated. A report with our concerns and recommendations has been transmitted to the Provost and is posted at [http://www.washington.edu/faculty/facsen/issues.html](http://www.washington.edu/faculty/facsen/issues.html). I note that final decisions on the application of metrics are the responsibility of the Provost and lie well in the future.

3. A review of the proposed repeal of the nonresident graduate and professional tuition waiver state-supported non-TAs and non-RAs. (The proposal is motivated solely by the budget crunch. There will be no impact on state-funded TAs and RAs.) SCPB and GPSS will jointly discuss the impact of elimination or reduction of central funding on the quality of our overall academic program as well as the possibility dean-level support in those units in which the waivers have been generally granted in the past.

You can follow the past and future SCPB agendas at any time at [www.washington.edu/faculty/facsen/issues.html](http://www.washington.edu/faculty/facsen/issues.html).
Faculty Council Issues
For Distribution: November 15, 2010
Faculty Senate Executive Committee Meeting

1. Academic Standards
   In addition to our normal business reviewing curriculum changes, major topics that FCAS may undertake this year are:
   a. Impact of Activity Based Budgeting on Academic Programs.
   b. Online Baccalaureate Programs.
   c. Higher Education Coordinating Board Modifications to Minimum Admission Standards.

2. Benefits and Retirement
   a. Fund Review Committee
   b. Voluntary Retirement Incentive option
   c. Further issues TBD

3. Faculty Affairs
   a. Revise RCEP to clarify process and address some holes related to college vs. program process and voting to appeal for a full process.
   b. Diversity – Consider adding a diversity statement to the Faculty Code.
   c. Promotion and Tenure Issues – Openness and consideration of collegiality in the Promotion and Tenure process.
   d. Senate Restructuring Cleanup – Alternate delegates for Senators, SEC nomination process, SEC Faculty Council Chair elections, double Senators (elected and ex officio).
   e. Lecturer/Instructor Confusion – Lecturers and instructor have different collegial teaching evaluations and chair meeting requirements in the code. Senior Lecturers and Principal Instructors are evaluated yearly while senior tenure track faculty are evaluated every three years.
   f. Freedom of speech on campus (not yet started).
   g. Definition of voting faculty (not yet started).
   h. Pending issues: conciliation process privacy issues; librarian suffrage/faculty status; textbook royalties.

4. Multicultural Affairs
   a. University Diversity plan
   b. Further issues TBD

5. Research
   a. Reviewing classified, proprietary and restricted research. (New laws involving import/export and homeland security issues: assessment of financial and physical risk associated with specific research projects becoming concerns.)
   b. Generate a comprehensive approach to restricted research consistent with our understanding of academic freedom. (New rules have been handled largely on a case-by-case basis.)
   c. Indirect Cost Recovery negotiations.
   d. Compliance; human subjects and federal “earmarks.”
   e. Enhance public access to publications.
   f. Interdisciplinary research.

6. Student Affairs
   a. Housing and Food Services update (10/5)
   b. Admissions update (11/2)
   c. Role of athletics on campus
   d. Further issues TBD

7. Faculty Council on Teaching and Learning
   a. The issues will center on how quality of teaching and learning can be maintained in the changing environment. This includes:
      i. Impact of staffing changes on faculty time for preparation and teaching
      ii. Impact of distance learning, and use of technology on quality
      iii. Effect of Activity Based Budgeting on teaching and learning activities

8. Tri-Campus Policy
   a. Review of FCTCP Work Group on Tri-Campus Relations final report
   b. Role of President’s Designees on council
c. Tri-campus perspectives on presidential search
d. Further issues TBD

9. University Facilities and Services
   a. Molecular Engineering Building Phase 2/Grant Circle project (10/21)
   b. West Campus Residential Developments (10/21)
   c. Wallingford Solar Initiative
d. Impacts of Nov. 18 football game
e. Further issues TBD

10. University Libraries
    a. Execution of the new FCUL Membership Recommendation Guidelines.
    b. Facilitation of Open Access of scholarly publications.
    c. Inclusion of Librarians on the Faculty Senate.
    d. The Research Commons opening and operation.
    e. The provision of HUB services located in the libraries.
f. Planning for collections, services, and staff in support of the libraries strategic plan and
   necessitated by the continuing budget constraints.

11. Women in Academia
    a. Continue follow-up work of survey on non-ladder faculty
    b. Investigate and further develop ideas for mentoring programs
c. Further issues TBD
2010-2013 Faculty Member Appointments to University and Senate Committees

Senate Committee on Planning and Budgeting

- Bill Zumeta, Daniel J. Evans School of Public Affairs, as a member for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011.

Faculty Council on Faculty Affairs

- Lea Vaughn, School of Law, as a member for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2013.
Agenda
Faculty Senate Meeting
Thursday, December 2, 2010, 2:30 p.m.
Savery Hall, Room 260

1. Call to Order and Approval of Agenda.


4. Opportunities for Questions and Requests for Information.
      I. Approval of October 11, 2010 Senate Executive Committee Minutes.
      II. Approval of October 28, 2010 Faculty Senate Minutes.
      III. Faculty Council Issues.
      IV. Determine 2010-11 Voting Rights for Ex-officio Members of the University Faculty Councils.
      V. Request for Code Interpretation: Interpretation of the mutually referential language in the Handbook Vol. 4, Part 9, Ch. 1, Sec. 5.B.1. and the Faculty Code Ch. 28-32 and 28-35.
   b. Report of the Secretary of the Faculty.
   c. Report of the Chair of the Senate Committee on Planning and Budgeting.
   d. Report of the Faculty Legislative Representative.

5. Invited Guests.
   Legislative Update: Margaret Shepherd, Director of State Relations.

6. Consent Agenda
   Approve Nominees for Faculty Councils and Committees.

7. Memorial Resolution.

8. Announcements.


   Motions involving Class C actions should be available in written form by incorporation in the agenda or distribution at the meeting. It is preferable that any resolution be submitted to the Senate Chair and Secretary of the Faculty no later than the Monday preceding a Senate meeting.

11. Good of the Order.


Prepared by: Marcia Killien, Secretary of the Faculty
Approved by: James “JW” Harrington, Chair of the Faculty Senate

NOTE: If a continuation meeting is necessary to conduct unfinished or special business, it will be held on Thursday, December 9 at 2:30 p.m. in Savery 260.
2010-2011 Representative Ex-officio Faculty Council and Committee Nominations
(as recommended by chairs)

Nominate, for Senate appointment, effective immediately, representative *ex-officio* members of Faculty Councils and Committees.

**Faculty Council on Academic Standards**
- Carlos Williams, Professional Staff Organization, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Cynthia Fugate, Association of Librarians of the University of Washington, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Jeb Bradley, Associated Students of the University of Washington, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011

**Faculty Council on Benefits and Retirement**
- Charles Chamberlin, Association of Librarians of the University of Washington, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- J. Ray Bowen, University of Washington Retirement Association, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011

**Faculty Council on Faculty Affairs**
- Stacey Fauchald, Professional Staff Organization, as an ex-officio member for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Serin Anderson, Association of Librarians of the University of Washington, as an ex-officio member for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011

**Faculty Council on Multi-Cultural Affairs**
- Scott Sipes, Professional Staff Organization, as an ex-officio member for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Laura Lillard, Association of Librarians of the University of Washington, as an ex-officio member for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Benjamin Lealofi, Associated Students of the University of Washington, as an ex-officio member for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011

**Faculty Council on Research**
- Colin Smith, Professional Staff Organization, as an ex-officio member for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Maureen Nolan, Association of Librarians of the University of Washington, as an ex-officio member for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Francis (Sandy) Spelman, University of Washington Retirement Association, as an ex-officio member for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011

**Faculty Council on Student Affairs**
- Carrie Perrin, Professional Staff Organization, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Jill McKinstry, Association of Librarians of the University of Washington, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Jeb Bradley, Associated Students of the University of Washington, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011

**Faculty Council on Teaching and Learning**
- Lorraine Calissi-Corral, Professional Staff Organization, as an ex-officio member for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Amanda Hornby, Association of Librarians of the University of Washington, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
Faculty Council on Tri-Campus Policy
- Rebecca Deardorff, Professional Staff Organization, as an ex-officio member for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Sarah Leadley, Association of Librarians of the University of Washington, as an ex-officio member for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Brandon Skyles, Associated Students of the University of Washington, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011

Faculty Council on University Libraries
- Ellen Barker, Professional Staff Organization, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Susanne Redalje, Association of Librarians of the University of Washington, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Richard Kirkendall, University of Washington Retirement Association, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Yoo Lee, Associated Students of the University of Washington, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011

Faculty Council on University Facilities and Services
- Paul Zuchowski, Professional Staff Organization, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Paula Walker, Association of Librarians of the University of Washington, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Steve Goldblatt, University of Washington Retirement Association, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011

Faculty Council on Women in Academia
- Jeanne Small, Professional Staff Organization, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Deborah Raftus, Association of Librarians of the University of Washington, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Benjamin Lealofi, Associated Students of the University of Washington, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
- Bertha Barriga, University of Washington Retirement Association, as an ex-officio member with vote for a term effective immediately and ending September 15, 2011
## EX OFFICIO VOTING ON FACULTY COUNCILS
### SURVEY RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council</th>
<th>ASUW</th>
<th>GPSS</th>
<th>PSO</th>
<th>UWRA</th>
<th>ALUW</th>
<th>OTHER*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCTL</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCFA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCUL</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCSA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCUFS</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X (PD, UAC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCAS</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>(faculty exofficios only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCMA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCWA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCBR</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCTCP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X See Code re: FCTCP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Nonacademic officer/ex officio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNCIL</th>
<th>RATIONALE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCTL</td>
<td>The people included in rights are actively concerned about quality of teaching and learning and have roles that could affect it. Others are not stake holders in a similar fashion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCFA</td>
<td>FCFA deals with issues that primarily bear on the faculty qua faculty. Voting rights on FCFA have traditionally been restricted to faculty members only for this reason.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| FCUL    | Ex officio members are IMPORTANT STAKEHOLDERS:  
* PSO through its representation of research scientists and facilities managers (the latter maintaining the university’s buildings, including the libraries).  
* ALUW represents the more than 130 librarians who work on the three UW campuses.  
* ASUW and GPSS represent the very wide range of interests of UW students in libraries services and decision making.  
PROMOTES COLLEGIALITY AMONG ALL MEMBERS OF THE FCUL:  
* UWRA offers to the FCUL past prospective on on-going decision making. |
| FCSA    | The faculty members on the council have voted unanimously to allow the ex-officio members to vote.  
We believe that students on the Faculty Council on Student Affairs should be allowed to vote.  
In addition, the other ex-officio members have significant roles with regard to student welfare. |
| FCUFS   | Other = President’s Rep. FCUFS is largely a discussion group representing many stakeholders; it functions best not to restrict votes to faculty. Also, faculty travel a lot, and at times FCUFS would lack a quorum w/o ex officio votes. |
| FCAS    | Other Ex Officio members recommended for voting are:  
(1) President’s Designee  
(2) Representative from Undergraduate Advising Council  
FCAS has historically extended the right to vote to ex-officio members to create collegiality among members and to encourage attendance and participation. With eleven faculty members, the faculty always outnumber the ex-officio members at meetings. FCAS meets every two weeks throughout the academic year, and we want to encourage attendance and participation. We would not implement a policy or approve an academic program change without a majority of the faculty members being in concurrence. |
| FCR     | The Faculty Council on Research recently passed this motion concerning voting rights. “The FCR requests that the SEC grant voting rights to ex officio members who hold faculty appointments.” |
| FCMA    | Because the committees are faculty ones and not intended to represent the entire university |
| FCWA    | FCWA generally deals with issues affecting the campus broadly |
| FCBR    | The work of FCBR applies to all, including faculty, staff, librarians, and retirees |
| FCTCP   | Only ex officios to vote should be the Vice Chair of the Faculty Senate, the Vice Chair of the General Faculty Organization, and the Vice Chair of the Faculty Assembly |
Official Request for Code Interpretation.

As chair of the Faculty Senate and in response to a request for information, I’m requesting an official Code interpretation of the mutually referential language in, is there a conflict between these two sections of the handbook:

1. The University Handbook Volume 4, Part 9, Chapter 1, Policy for Addressing Allegations of Scientific and Scholarly Misconduct, Section 5.B.1, Investigation; and


JW Harrington, Chair
Faculty Senate