Present: Harrington, Astley, Balick, Wise, Kochis, Lazzari, Killien, Fridley, Taylor, Buck, Nolen, Stern, Lehman, Baker, Schaufelberger, Christie, Stenkamp, McKenna

Guests: Kellye Testy, Bob Breidenthal, Miceal Vaughan, Carol Niccolls, Katy Dwyer, Jeb Bradley, William Dow

Absent: Johnson, Lidstrom, Reyneveld

1. Call to Order and Approval of Agenda.

   Faculty Senate Chair JW Harrington called the meeting to order at 2:33. The Agenda was approved with no changes.

2. Executive Committee Orientation. (Exhibit A)

   Secretary of the Faculty Marcia Killien gave a presentation on shared governance and the role of the Senate Executive Committee (SEC). Secretary Killien introduced the Officers of the Faculty (President & the Secretary of the Faculty) and the Senate officers. Highlights of the presentation included information on the changes on Faculty Councils, the new structure of Senate & SEC as well as reasoning and background for the changes. In response to a question in regards to Faculty Senate term limits, Faculty Council on Faculty Affairs (FCFA) Chair Rich Christie explained the differences between the old and new system, which have changed from four years on and 4 years off to two terms on and one term off. Secretary Killien explained some changes that are being made to the SEC and the format of the meetings including the introduction of a consent agenda and new written report format. She asked Executive Committee members to prepare more in advance for meetings now since most reports will be written, with an opportunity for questions.


   Senate Chair Harrington began his report by explaining the difference between the Chair’s and Secretary of the Faculty’s positions. The Secretary of the Faculty is elected by the SEC to serve a five year appointment. The Senate Chair is elected by the Faculty Senate to serve a year as vice chair, a year as chair and then a year as chair of the Senate Committee on Planning and Budgeting.

   Harrington then thanked everybody for their time, service, thoughtfulness and preparation. He announced that his remarks would be focused on today’s meeting as well as those in the future. Harrington announced the creation of a new Faculty Senate Blog (www.senateblog.washington.edu) and asked members to visit the site. He went on to say that the blog is a key piece of his communications strategy.

   The focus of today’s meeting is to start the process of the new meeting format which includes written reports as opposed to oral reports and the opportunity for members to ask questions based on those reports. Another change to the agenda identified by Harrington was the addition of a consent agenda. Items placed here are likely noncontroversial matters that can be adopted by unanimous consent. Any objection removes an item from the consent agenda and places it first under New Business.

   Harrington then went on to give a preview of the meeting which would include remarks from the new President, remarks from the Chair of the Advisory Search Committee on the Presidency of the University of Washington, proposed changes to the UW Retirement Plan, and SEC’s role in determining the voting rights of the ex-officio members of the Faculty Councils. The next SEC
meeting will include a written report by the Faculty Athletic Representative (FAR) as well as opportunity for questions.

Faculty governance is a team effort. Each faculty officer is elected separately, but the group works as a team. The leadership receives support from a three person staff that Harrington then introduced; Assistant to the Chair, Nancy Bradshaw, Faculty Council Support Analyst Craig Bosman and Assistant to the Secretary Alex Bolton.

Harrington closed his remarks with a welcome to the new President.


Interim President Wise started by asking members to introduce themselves, which they did. Wise indicated that her appointment as interim president was made at the July Regents meeting and that she was honored to be a part of the Senate in this capacity. She reported that she has had an exciting three months (as designate) and has been on a listening tour meeting with Governor Gregoire, State Senate Majority leader Lisa Brown, Speaker Frank Chopp, each Regent individually, business leaders, community leaders, all five of the four year university presidents, and community college presidents.

One of her major goals this year is the continuation of 2y2d, which she then went on to explain the background and status. She was glad that she was able to name Mary Lidstrom, Vice Provost for Research, as interim Provost and Executive Vice President. During Lidstrom’s tenure as vice provost there has been growth in interdisciplinary research. Lidstrom has a joint appointment in engineering and medicine and is very familiar with the university. She has also embraced 2y2d and been involved with the process from the beginning.

Wise then went on to discuss the university’s planned approach with Olympia. In July the new fiscal year began; a month later a 6.3% budget cut was announced by the Governor resulting in a $17 million cut to the university. The administration is currently working strategically in planning where the university will make these cuts. She went on to say that budget cuts can’t be made without affecting students. A focus on large core pre-requisite classes will be made to make sure they do not become bottlenecks. The Office of Financial Management has asked the University to project scenarios for another 10% – 20% budget cut for the upcoming biennium to be presented to the Higher Education Coordinating Board. Wise continues to meet with legislators and Governor Gregoire has created a task force to help her make decisions on cuts. Gregoire has made it clear that she wants all six four-year university’s to speak with one voice, or at least a harmonious voice.

Wise explained that the administration hopes to have a more complete discussion with the legislature and one item that is a part of the big picture are efficiencies that UW could do to save money if it were exempt from some state processes. She also hopes to have a discussion on the role of tuition and said that the administration would not be going forward on pursuing local control of tuition setting authority. Wise cited former Student Regent Ben Golden’s survey of students which indicated that students care about excellence first. She also emphasized that access is important and is working on providing access and excellence. She plans on bringing faculty and deans with her to Olympia to advocate for the University of Washington.

Another goal for Wise is what she is calling the pre-pre-launch of the next capital campaign. Much has already been done to put things in place, but she understands that universities do not start campaigns with an Interim President, but will lay the ground work for the new university president.

In conclusion, Wise asked the SEC to advise her on things before they become issues.

Faculty Council on Faculty Affairs Chair Rich Christie asked the President about Executive Order 64, the faculty salary policy, and whether or not there would be further changes to the Order. Wise explained that she plans on carrying out the current Executive Order as revised last spring.
Senate Committee on Planning and Budgeting Chair Bruce Balick expressed his appreciation for Wise’s understanding of the university’s culture comparing its complexities to the United Nations. Balick went on to say that her choice of provost is inspired. Wise thanked Balick for his comments and went on to say she feels like she has a “dream team” and that the strongest institutions will come out of difficult times even stronger. Wise is proud to be a member of the faculty and pointed out that she continues to work with her NIH grant.

ASUW President Madeleine McKenna congratulated President Wise. She indicated the fact that the university is no longer seeking local control for tuition was new information to her. Wise explained that last year’s bill had so many strings attached, that it would have been a net loss to the university. Wise said that the administration wants to have a deeper conversation with the Legislature. She believes that leading with tuition setting authority is not the way to start the conversation. Wise is in the middle of setting up meetings with students, staff, faculty, and others to talk this through.

5. Opportunity for Questions

a. Report of the Secretary of the Faculty. {Exhibit B}

b. Report of the Chair of the Senate Committee on Planning and Budgeting. {Exhibit C}.

c. Report of the Faculty Legislative Representative. {Exhibit D}

A question was referred to Jim Fridley, Faculty Legislative Representative, in regards to state leadership changes for higher education. Fridley told members that the Chair of the Higher Education Coordinating Board is Jesús Hernández, the interim Executive Director is Mike Riley, but we won’t know House and Senate leadership until after the election.

SCPB Chair Bruce Balick did not submit a written report with the meeting agenda, so presented an oral report using information distributed to members as they entered the meeting. Balick began by summarizing his report; see Exhibit C. He went on to explain that SCPB is one of three pillars giving budget advice to the provost. The other two are the vice presidents and vice provosts, and the Board of Deans.

The SCPB membership includes 12 faculty members, the provost, vice provost for planning and budgeting and a representative from the Board of Deans, one student member nominated jointly by the ASUW and GPSS, the Presidents of the ASUW and GPSS, who serve without vote. The committee advises the administration and informs the Faculty Senate on long-range planning, preparation of budgets, and distribution of funds, with a particular focus on faculty concerns. It is also charged with consulting with the Executive Committee and the Senate on matters of policy. The SCPB is also where the Reorganization, Consolidation and Elimination of Programs process begins.

Balick went on to say that the SCPB agenda will focus on the current budget situation. He hopes to begin looking at creating high level principles to use as a starting point for budget planning discussions. He indicated that the group met earlier in the day with Margaret Shepherd, Director of State Relations, to talk about the upcoming legislative agenda. The SCPB’s fall agenda is attached to the minutes.

A SEC member made a comment on how the former President and Provost often cited the sweat of faculty as part of the University’s revenue, and was glad to see that type of thinking coming from SCPB. A question was asked about the graphs in Exhibit C, that the information is focused on undergraduates, and asked if there was similar information for graduate students? President Wise answered by stating that the state doesn’t support graduate education and that it would be difficult to graph that activity because of the variability of graduate program funding.

Presidential Search Update – Dean Kellye Y. Testy, School of Law and Chair of the Advisory Search Committee on the Presidency of the University of Washington.

Dean Testy began expressing appreciation to JW Harrington for his service on the search committee and that she has enjoyed working with him in this capacity. She went on to explain that the committee was established Spring quarter of 2010 and began its work nearly immediately. She feels that the group is coming together well and creating cohesion. The Committee recommended and the Regents approved the hiring of the consulting firm of R. William Funk and Associates who is working with the group to create a candidate pool. The committee has been impressed with some of the people that have expressed interest in the position.

Testy drew the group’s attention to the committee’s website at http://www.washington.edu/regents/search/ and their e-mail address uwsearch@uw.edu. The Committee will host a number of stakeholder forums. Of most interest to the faculty will be the following meetings. The committee is asking stakeholders for nominations of candidates and interview agendas and questions.

- Wednesday, October 13, 2010 – UW Tacoma Community Forum from 12:45 to 1:45 p.m. in the William Philip Hall, UW Tacoma campus.
- Monday, October 25, 2010 – UW Bothell, North Creek Events Center 3:30 to 5:00 p.m. UWB Campus Forum; 5:30 to 7:00 p.m. Bothell Area Community Forum.
- Wednesday, October 27, 2010 – University of Washington Seattle Campus 3:30 to 5:30 p.m. UW Campus Forum; 6:00 to 8:00 p.m. Seattle Community Forum; Kane Hall, Room 110.
- Monday, November 1, 2010 – UW Faculty Forum 4:00 to 6:00 p.m. in William H. Gates Hall, Room 138.

Testy believes that the committee is on target with the process and timeline, and stated that the Regents have asked for the groups work to be completed by February of 2011. However, the timelines serve as goals; the message from the Regents is clear: do it right.

In order to ensure the quality of the candidate pool, she stressed the importance of confidentiality on the part of the committee.

In regards to Testy’s comments on confidentiality, an observation was made that there should be a balance between the need for confidentiality and the need to meet with the university community. And then the question, will there be forums with candidates on campus before hiring? Testy answered by stating that the process will not include candidate visits, adding that it would be difficult for a president or high level administrator from another university to go back to their campus and be effective if they were not chosen.

Another question, what is the most important thing to look for in a candidate? Testy said that more than anything, a great leader, comfortable in his or her own skin, an ability to relate, understand governance structure, the ability to translate the great things that UW does into getting people excited, and being effective with the legislature.

In answer to a query in regards to whether or not the search is exclusive to external candidates, Testy made it clear that the committee will treat internal and external candidates equally. The committee is also open to nontraditional candidates. Harrington added that the group is in strong agreement that they are looking for somebody to lead a university, not just a complex organization.

Harrington concluded the report by thanking Testy and stating that she will also present an update to the Faculty Senate at its October 28 meeting.
7. Consent Agenda
   a. Announce Electronic Approval of May 3, 2010 SEC minutes and May 20 and June 3, 2010 Faculty Senate Minutes.
   b. Approve Nominees for Faculty Councils and Committees. {Exhibit E}
   c. Nominate Míċeál Vaughan for Faculty-at-large SEC position; replaces Bill Reinhardt.
   d. Approval of the October 28, 2010 Faculty Senate Agenda. {Exhibit F}

The consent agenda was approved.

7. Announcements

There were no announcements.

8. Unfinished business

There was no unfinished business.

9. New business

   a. Faculty Council on Benefits and Retirement (FCBR). {Exhibit G}

      **Action:** Endorse Proposed UW Retirement Plan / Voluntary Investment Program Draft Plan.

   A motion was made to endorse the changes to the UW Retirement Plan / Voluntary Investment Program Plan, Fund Review Committee in Exhibit G; no second was needed since the action was coming from a Faculty Council.

   Bob Breidenthal, past chair of FCBR and Katy Dwyer, Executive Director of Benefits, were present to provide information on the motion.

   Breidenthal, 2009-10 FCBR Chair, explained that the endorsement of the draft revisions to the plan was passed unanimously by FCBR. He stated that salaries are not climbing and that the market isn’t good, so it is important to have a strong plan for faculty. To help in this endeavor, a consultant was named who works with the Fund Review Committee in providing advice to the Provost and Executive Vice President. Dwyer gave a brief history stating that prior to having a planning document, a presidential task force was appointed when needed. Over time it became clear that there was a need for an on-going process including input from planning and budgeting and the treasury office. These proposed changes have been approved by FCBR, the Professional Staff Organization, and the ALUW. Dwyer pointed out that the committee currently has three faculty members, that there is no maximum number of faculty that can serve, and the revised plan draft requires at least two faculty members. The Committee’s structure and authority is outlined in Section 5.2 of the UW Retirement Plan document, and Section 5.4 of the Voluntary Investment Program. As the fiduciaries’ of the university, the Regents have ultimate control fo the plan document.

   The fact that Central Washington University and Western Washington University are in the plan was mentioned. Dwyer explained that they are small university’s and are unable to support their own group. They are non-voting and pay their share of the cost, but receive a level of support they wouldn’t otherwise be able to get. They also have similarly structured retirement plans.

   In conclusion, Dwyer answered a question, when will faculty be able to change investments. The group will meet this week, however it will take some time adding that she would be surprised if there was anything in place before January, 2012.
An observation was made that it was odd for the SEC to be asked to endorse changes such as these, that the SEC usually dealt explicitly with Senate items. Breidenthal stated that the council felt it would be helpful to let the Regents know that the faculty do support the changes.

The motion was approved.

b. **Discussion:** Rights for *Ex-officio* Members of University Faculty Councils.

Secretary Killien asked the SEC to consider the policy and procedures for extending voting rights for ex-officio members of Faculty Councils.

As described in the Faculty Code, Section 41-34, it is the Senate Executive Committee’s responsibility to determine whether or not to extend voting rights to ex-officio members and to indicate this at the time each ex officio member is appointed. The recent past practice has been to annually delegate this authority to the individual Faculty Councils, with the decision made at the first meeting of the year.

Some reasons to extend voting rights are to promote collegiality among all members of the Council and it can be awkward to deny voting to a member who is present at the meeting. Some reasons not to extend voting rights are that on principle, voting on faculty councils should remain only with members of the faculty and if council attendance is poor, non-faculty could potentially determine the outcome of Council votes. Inconsistency among the Councils also poses some pragmatic challenges in keeping track of voting eligibility. Ex-officio members retain the right to speak and actively participate in the Council, regardless of voting status.

Some comments made: Precedent should carry some weight; however the precedent could be re-evaluated; while it may be awkward, why should non-faculty have voting rights on Faculty Councils; some Councils extend ex officio members voting rights, but there must be a quorum of faculty members present before a vote is taken; the determination might, for good reason, vary among Councils depending on their membership and charge, but the policy should probably not vary from year to year; having all members vote promotes collegiality; ex officio members are important stakeholders and have expertise that should be respected by giving them the vote; if ex officio members do not have voting rights, they may not attend regularly.

Following discussion, Killien noted that each Council Chair will be asked, via Catalyst survey, for a recommendation about ex-officio voting rights for their Council, including a rationale for their recommendation. The SEC will consider these recommendations at the next meeting when ex officio appointments are considered.

Meeting was adjourned at 4:23 p.m.

Prepared by: Marcia Killen, Secretary of the Faculty  
Approved by: JW Harrington, Faculty Senate Chair
Senate Executive Committee Orientation 2010-11
Marcia Killien
Secretary of the Faculty

The Faculty Code
- Adopted 1956
- Outlines faculty rights & responsibilities
- Describes shared governance with administration
- Describes faculty organization, including Senate & SEC

Faculty Committees and Councils
- Senate Executive Committee (SEC)
- Senate Committee on Planning & Budget (SCPB)
- Senate Faculty Council (SFC)
- Academic Senate: Teaching, Curriculum, & Assessment
- Faculty Senate: Teaching, Curriculum, & Assessment
- University Libraries: Education & Learning

Shared Governance
- Faculty Senate: New Structure
  - Changed ratio of representation from 1:15 faculty to 1:40 faculty
  - Senators elected by & represent their academic unit (School, College, or Campus) through process determined by the Elected Faculty Council
  - Senators elected for 2 year term, with half of Schools/Colleges/Campuses conducting elections each year

University Faculty Leadership
- Officers of the Faculty
  - President: Phyllis Wise
  - Secretary of the Faculty: Marcia Killien
- Senate Executive Committee (SEC)
  - Chair: JW Harrington
  - Vice-Chair: Susan Astley
  - GFO Chair (UWB): Bruce Balick
  - SCPB Chair: Jim Fridley
SEC: Powers & Duties
- Assist the Senate in discharge of legislative duties
- Provide an effective channel of communication between President & Faculty
- Interpret the Faculty Code
- Acts for the Senate during summer

Sec. 22-60

SEC Meetings
- Reports
  - Routine: President, Senate Chair, SCPB, Leg.
  - Invited: Councils, Invited to address issues
- Legislation
  - Discuss, prepare, vote
  - Councils’ work in progress needing input
- Approve appointments
- Set Senate agendas

Classes of Legislation
- Class A: Amends Faculty Code
- Class B: Amends non-Faculty Code policy in University Handbook
- Class C: Non-legislative actions such as Resolutions; Approval of Committee Reports

Most formality required for Class A Legislation.

Class A Process - Simplified
- Identification of Problem
- Assignment to Council – Draft Legislation
- Presentation to Senate Executive Committee
- Presentation to Senate
- Review by President and “Code Cops”
- Second Review by SEC
- Second Consideration by Senate
- Faculty Vote
- Approval of President

Effective SEC Meetings

Attendance!

Logistics:
- If a SEC member is unable to attend a SEC meeting, he or she may ask a colleague to attend the meeting to take notes, but these colleagues cannot be considered “proxies” and will not be allowed to vote.

Changes to Meeting Format
- The consent agenda: noncontroversial matters that can be adopted by unanimous consent; these are proposed as a group, and will be approved unless any member objects. Any objection removes an item from the consent agenda and places it first under New Business.
- The report format: most regular reports will no longer be given orally, but will be collected in written form, attached to the SEC agenda, and distributed prior to each meeting; though, authors of reports will be available at the meeting to answer questions.

Effective SEC Meetings

Preparation
Effective SEC Meetings

Productive discussions
Adhere to parliamentary procedures ("Roberts Rules")

Orientation Packet

Packet mailed via e-mail that includes:
- Roster of the Senate Executive Committee (SEC)
- 2010-11 Schedule of Senate and SEC Meetings
- General Information about the Senate
- Explanation of Class A, B, and C legislation
- Glossary
- List of Faculty Councils
- Some basics of Parliamentary Procedure

Comments & Questions
Report of the Secretary of the Faculty
Marcia Killien, Professor, Family and Child Nursing

1. Staff changes in the office:

a. Susan Folk retired this summer; Alex Bolton has replaced her as Assistant to the Secretary of the Faculty. Previously, Alex was the Council Support Analyst in the Office of University Committee. Prior to holding the analyst position, Alex worked for Former Governor Dan Evans and assisted him with his autobiography. He attended the University as an undergraduate earning a Bachelor of Arts in Economics and Political Science and later earned a Master of Public Administration at the Evans School. He was very active as a student and involved in ASUW and GPSS. In his second year at the Evans School, he held the position of Student Regent on the UW Board of Regents.

b. Craig Bosman has replaced Alex Bolton as Council Support Analyst. Craig joined the Office of University Committees this summer after completing Peace Corps service as an environmental volunteer in the Philippines. Prior to that, he worked as a civil engineer. He graduated from University of Washington with a Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering. As a student, he was heavily involved in ASUW, his department, and the Dawg Pack.

2. All Faculty Councils have full membership and chairs appointed. Councils will be meeting on fixed schedules for the year; we appreciate everyone’s cooperation in implementing this new system, and welcome all returning and new members. The list of members, along with meeting minutes and schedules can be found on our website at http://www.washington.edu/faculty/committees/councils.html.

3. The Senate Leadership will be meeting regularly with Faculty Council Chairs and Chairs of the Elected Faculty Councils of Schools, Colleges, and Campuses for coordination and information sharing.
Upcoming issues for SCPB

1. SCPB Activities Planned for Fall
   - Budget, Budget, Budget
     Academic uses and priorities of the core education budget (see addendum below)
     Guiding principles for fashioning budgets (2Y2D, developing and applying metrics, etc.)
   - UW’s Legislative Message
   - SCPB—Board of Deans & Chancellors: coordination of work
   - Ongoing Issues
     Quarterly costs of faculty hiring & retention
     State support levels and their potential academic implications
     Items of interest to ASUW and GPSS

2. Revenue projections for next biennium
   Major UW Revenue Streams (unofficial; 2011 projection is a guess)
   ![Revenue Streams Graph]

   Revenue Streams pre student FTE (the "Emmert Graph")
   ![Funding per FTE Student Graph]
Senate Committee on Planning and Budgeting
2010 Fall Quarter Schedule

(PROVISIONAL - AWAITING CONFIRMATION OF INVITED SPEAKERS)
All meetings are held in 301 Gerberding (Regents Room) from 1:30 p.m. - 3:00 p.m.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 4</td>
<td>Budget 101 Orientation.</td>
<td>Info &amp; Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 6</td>
<td>Instructions; Opening remarks Looking at SSPE's year ahead; Looking at SSPE's fall activities.</td>
<td>Info &amp; Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 11</td>
<td>1. UW's message to the legislature: M. Stadler</td>
<td>Info &amp; Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 18</td>
<td>1. Functional breakdown of academic uses of the core UW budget (Summary)</td>
<td>Info &amp; Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. UW Budget: Design, Principles, &amp; Priorities</td>
<td>Info &amp; Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 25</td>
<td>1. UW Budget: Design, Principles, &amp; Priorities.</td>
<td>Info &amp; Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. UW Budget: Design, Principles, &amp; Priorities; III: Tuition and enrollment planning options (Medien)</td>
<td>Info &amp; Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 1</td>
<td>Bruce away.</td>
<td>Info &amp; Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 9</td>
<td>No meeting.</td>
<td>Info &amp; Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL-SCFB</td>
<td>UA Budget Design Principles, &amp; Priorities. IV: SBOC review of proposed metrics</td>
<td>Info &amp; Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback to the BOC of Deans/Chancellors: (A1)</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 13</td>
<td>1. UW Budget Design Principles, &amp; Priorities. V: Quantification of Budget Design Principles (A2)</td>
<td>Info &amp; Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. UW Budget Design Principles, &amp; Priorities: VI: Adoption of Design Principles (A2)</td>
<td>Info &amp; Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 29</td>
<td>1. UW Budget Design Principles, &amp; Priorities. VII: Monitoring Budget Challenges in 2011-13 (Summary)</td>
<td>Info &amp; Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Closure on open issues (A3)</td>
<td>Info &amp; Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 5</td>
<td>1. Quarterly report on faculty hiring &amp; retention (Summary)</td>
<td>Info &amp; Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL-SCPE</td>
<td>2. UW Budget Design Principles, &amp; Priorities. IV: Faculty Salary Raises in 2011-13 (Summary)</td>
<td>Info &amp; Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 13</td>
<td>1. Preview of the Governor's budget and the 2011 legislative session (Summary)</td>
<td>Info &amp; Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL-SCPE</td>
<td>2. SBOC Winter activities (A4)</td>
<td>Info &amp; Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 29</td>
<td>Winter Break</td>
<td>Info &amp; Discussion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*http://www.washington.edu/cu/org/unc/acarissystems/arcobpriorities.pdf*
Report of the Faculty Legislative Representative  
Jim Fridley, Professor, Forest Resources and Mechanical Engineering

The Legislature will convene for 105 days beginning on January 10th and their (most important?) task will be to determine a budget for the biennium that begins July 1. With the very large anticipated budget shortfall for the 2011-2013 biennium, substantial changes in leadership in our state’s higher education world and with all seats in the State House of Representatives plus half of those in the State Senate to be determined in the coming election, there is considerable uncertainty about priorities and funding levels for extremely important but arguably less urgently needed state services, like public higher education. As a result there will likely be an “everything has to be on the table” mindset during not only budget but also policy conversations that potentially affect the University of Washington.

Known higher education related changes in and around the halls of the legislature:

Chair of the House Higher Education Committee  
Membership in the House Higher Education Committee  
Membership in the Senate Higher Education and Workforce Development Committee  
Executive Director of the Higher Education Coordinating Board  
Executive Director of the Council of Presidents  
Among the four-year college and university presidents  
Among the four-year provosts  
Among the Institutional Liaisons  
Among the Faculty Legislative Representatives  
Among the Student Lobbyists
2010-2013 Faculty Member Appointments to University and Senate Committees

Faculty Council on Benefits and Retirement

- Nancy Nihan, Civil & Environmental Engineering, Engineering, as a member for a term beginning September 16, 2010, and ending September 15, 2013.

Faculty Council on Faculty Affairs

- Christine Di Stefano, Political Science, Arts & Sciences, as a renewing member for a term beginning September 16, 2010 and ending September 15, 2013.

Faculty Council on Multicultural Affairs

- Angela Ginorio, Women Studies, Arts & Sciences, as a member for a term beginning September 16, 2010, and ending September 15, 2013.
- Morayma Reyes, Pathology, Medicine, as a member for a term beginning September 16, 2010, and ending September 15, 2013.

Faculty Council on Research


Faculty Council on Student Affairs

- Virginia Berninger, Education, as a renewing member for a term beginning September 16, 2010, and ending September 15, 2013.
- Jeffrey Schwartz, Radiation Oncology, Medicine, as a renewing member for a term beginning September 16, 2010, and ending September 15, 2013.

Faculty Council on Teaching and Learning

- Albert Merati, Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, Medicine, as a member for a term beginning September 16, 2010, and ending September 15, 2012.
- Bruce Nelson, Earth & Space Sciences, College of the Environment, as a member for a term beginning September 16, 2010, and ending September 15, 2012.
- Jaime Olavarria, Psychology, Arts & Sciences, as a member for a term beginning September 16, 2010, and ending September 15, 2012.
- Richard Wilkes, Physics, Arts & Sciences, as a member for a term beginning September 16, 2010, and ending September 15, 2011.
- Meng-Che Yeh, Pathology, Medicine, as a member for a term beginning September 16, 2010, and ending September 15, 2011.
- Brenda Zierler, Biobehavioral Nursing and Health Systems, Nursing, as a member for a term beginning September 16, 2010, and ending September 15, 2012.
Faculty Council on Tri-Campus Policy

- Michael Forman, Interdisciplinary Arts & Sciences, UW Tacoma, as a member for a term beginning September 16, 2010, and ending September 15, 2013.
- Janet Primomo, Nursing, UW Tacoma, as a renewing member for a term beginning September 16, 2010, and ending March 15, 2011.
- Johann Reusch, Interdisciplinary Arts & Sciences, UW Tacoma, as a member for a term beginning March 16, 2011, and ending September 15, 2013.
- Susan Woods, Biobehavioral Nursing and Health Systems, Nursing, as a member for a term beginning September 16, 2010, and ending September 15, 2013.

Adjudication Panel

- Ross Heath, Oceanography, College of the Environment, as a renewing member for a term beginning September 16, 2010, and ending September 15, 2013.
Agenda
Faculty Senate Meeting
Thursday, October 28, 2010, 2:30 p.m.
Savery Hall, Room 260

1. Call to Order and Approval of Agenda.
2. Faculty Senate Orientation – Professor Marcia Killien, Secretary of the Faculty.
5. Opportunities for Questions and Requests for Information.
   b. Report of the Secretary of the Faculty.
   c. Report of the Chair of the Senate on Planning and Budgeting.
   d. Report of the Faculty Legislative Representative.
   Presidential Search Update – Dean Kellye Y. Testy, School of Law and Chair of the Advisory Search Committee on the Presidency of the University of Washington.
7. Consent Agenda.
   a. Approve Nominees for Faculty Councils and Committees.
   b. Nominate Mícheál Vaughan for Faculty-at-Large SEC member; successor to Bill Reinhardt, at-large representative from Arts and Sciences. Further nominations may be made from the Senate floor. An electronic vote will be conducted within a week of the approval.
8. Memorial Resolution.
9. Announcements.
10. Unfinished Business.

   Motions involving Class C actions should be available in written form by incorporation in the agenda or distribution at the meeting. It is preferable that any resolution be submitted to the Senate Chair and Secretary of the Faculty no later than the Monday preceding a Senate meeting.
12. Good of the Order.

Prepared by: Marcia Killien, Secretary of the Faculty
Approved by: James “JW” Harrington, Chair of the Faculty Senate

NOTE: If a continuation meeting is necessary to conduct unfinished or special business, it will be held on Thursday, November 4 at 2:30 p.m. in Savery 260.
5.2. **Fund Review Committee.**

(a) **Responsibility.** The Fund Review Committee is a standing body responsible for reviewing the performance of Fund Sponsors and Funding Vehicles, Investment Options available through the University of Washington Retirement Plan (UWRP) and Voluntary Investment Program (VIP). The Committee will also evaluate and recommend whether new Fund Sponsors or Funding Vehicles, Investment Options should be added and whether existing Fund Sponsors or Funding Vehicles, Investment Options should be removed.

If an Funding Vehicle, Investment Option or Fund Sponsor is removed, it shall not be available for subsequent contributions except as otherwise prescribed by the Committee. In addition, the Committee may determine that existing accumulations shall be transferred to one or more other Funding Vehicles, Investment Options specified by the Committee.

The Committee, in coordination with the UW Benefits and WorkLife Office, is to determine the frequency of the performance reviews. The Committee shall meet as often as it deems appropriate, but not less than every six months. In evaluating existing Fund Sponsors and Fund Vehicles, Investment Options, the Committee will monitor and review performance data, analyze participants’ comments, requests and concerns, and conduct public meetings on proposed changes. Draft recommendations of the Committee will be presented to and discussed with the Faculty Council on Retirement, Insurance and Benefits and Retirement; the Professional Staff Organization and the Association of Librarians of the University of Washington. Final recommendations for changes are to be submitted to the Provost and Executive Vice President.

The UW Benefits and WorkLife Office shall provide support for the Committee’s activities. The Committee may also will assist in the selection of an Investment Consultant, appoint such advisors and and adopt such guidelines, an Investment Policies Statement or procedures as it deems appropriate to guide their recommendations.

(b) **Membership.** The Committee shall be appointed by, and serve at the pleasure of, the Provost and Executive Vice President of UW, who will consult with the Faculty Council on Retirement, Insurance and Benefits and Retirement, the Professional Staff Organization and the Association of Librarians of the University of Washington in selecting the committee members to represent their constituents. The membership shall include the following voting representatives and officials:

- One representative of the Faculty Council on Retirement, Insurance and Benefits and Retirement;
- One-Two or more representatives of the Faculty, as recommended by the Faculty Senate Executive Committee;
- One representative of the Librarians, as recommended by the Association of Librarians of the University of Washington;
- One representative of the Professional Staff, as recommended by the Professional Staff Organization;
- One representative of the UW Treasury Office as recommended by the UW Treasurer;
- One representative of the Office of Planning and Budgeting as recommended by the Vice Provost for Planning and Budgeting.
One UWRP Voluntary Investment Program (VIP) participant representing the Classified or Contract Classified Staff, as recommended by the Vice President, Human Resources
One retired UWRP representative, as recommended by the UW Retirement Association;
Ex officio, voting members:
UW Human Resources - Executive Director of Benefits & WorkLife
UW Treasurer, Board of Regents

Nonvoting members:
One representative each from a four year institution of higher education in the State of Washington other than the University of Washington Human Resources at Central Washington University and Western Washington University.

In appointing members, those groups recommending members and the Executive Vice President shall seek to identify where possible, individuals who have expertise in the areas of tax and pension law for 403(b) or similar retirement plans, or of finance, investment, or economics.

The Provost and Executive Vice President shall designate the Chair of the Committee. Term of office for members Members shall be serve staggered, three year terms. The Committee shall meet as often as it deems appropriate, but not less than every six months. The Chair of the Committee may appoint such committee officers, advisors and subcommittees as needed.