UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
FACULTY COUNCIL ON TRI-CAMPUS POLICY
MONDAY, October 15, 2007, 9:00-10:30 a.m.
26 Gerberding Hall

Chair Janet Primomo called the meeting to order at 9:02 a.m.

Meeting Synopsis:
1. Introductions
2. Procedural issues (roster, meeting locations; teleconference option; travel reimbursement)
3. Review of last year’s report:
   http://www.washington.edu/faculty/committees/fctcp/reports.html
4. Approval of minutes.
5. Discussion and prioritization of future agenda items
   a. Evaluate and revisit cross-campus registration policy (See 2005-06 & 2006-07 Annual Reports) (Discuss with Faculty Council on Academic Standards - FCAS)
   b. Initiate, review data, and make recommendations from evaluation of three-campus undergraduate curriculum review
   c. Explore innovative cross-campus initiatives
   d. Discuss criteria for considering separate campus accreditation for professional programs (e.g. Business, Nursing, Social Work)
   e. Discuss criteria for considering development of Schools and Colleges within UWB and UWT campuses: Faculty Code Section 26-41. Procedures for Reorganization, Consolidation, and Elimination of Programs (RCEP) as a resource for organizational change.
   f. Review the scope of Faculty Council responsibility for all 3 campuses
   g. Revisit coordination of Educational Outreach programs with the programs of the 3 UW campuses.
   h. Follow Faculty Affairs review of the Faculty Code Section 26-41. Procedures for Reorganization, Consolidation, and Elimination of Programs (RCEP). See http://www.washington.edu/faculty/facsenate/handbook/Volume2.html
   i. Graduate School representation on the FCTCP
   j. Developing "undersecretaries of the faculty” for UWB & UWT
6. Update on development of the new Puget Sound North campus development: Discussion of FCTCP involvement

************************************************************************

1. Introductions
The Chair, Janet Primomo, invited council members to introduce themselves.

2. Procedural Issues
Primomo addressed the issue of meeting locations, noting that the council will meet on both the UW Tacoma (UWT) and UW Bothell (UWB) campuses, in the spring quarter. She also mentioned the use of teleconferencing and possibly videoconferencing as means of connecting with council members unable to attend meetings in person.
3. Last Year’s Annual Report
Council member Marcia Killien reviewed the charge of FCTCP and gave an overview of the council’s work last year. She spoke about the Class A legislation that revised the Faculty Code to formalize faculty governance and curricular practices on UWB and UWT campuses. Killien noted that a majority of council energy went into the legislation and its passage in the Senate Executive Committee and the Faculty Senate. Killien recommended that FCTCP needs to address specific ways to implement the legislative changes and how Faculty Councils will address three-campus issues. She noted that it needs continued discussion.

The Annual Report also listed the council’s Phase II reviews of new or revised undergraduate program proposals. Killien remarked that the remaining issue here was the review of that process of review.

Killien then noted former council member Tom Bellamy’s cross-campus enrollment proposal. She inquired of the council whether they should or shouldn’t revisit, change, or modify it.

4. Approval of minutes (added to the agenda)
The minutes from May 23, 2007 were approved by the council by consensus.

5. Discussion and prioritization of future agenda items
Council members broke into a discussion about the role of FCTCP. The talk centered on the role of FCTCP as a unit of the larger Faculty Senate and the inherent tension in that relationship. FCTCP has administrative representatives from all three campuses. The question was raised, “what is the scope of our business and responsibilities?” Council members discussed the fact that two years ago a task force convened on the reorganization of Faculty Councils. An effort to reorganize FCTCP as a joint university council was struck down. Council member Alan Wood suggested that the council recommend it again to the Faculty Senate. He noted that the 4th campus issue brings a sense of urgency to it as its relationship to the UW Bothell campus is confusing.
Primomo suggested that the council revisit the issue and begin gathering information now. It was noted that Gail Stygall was someone knowledgeable about the issue and would know the reasons why the reorganization effort failed.
Action: The council will invite Gail Stygall to a FCTCP meeting.

Primomo asked about UW Bothell and UW Tacoma representation on faculty councils. It was noted that the Secretary of the Faculty Senate is both responsible for and knowledgeable of the council representation. It was also noted that Bothell and Tacoma campuses elected not to have membership (addressed in earlier minutes) on all of the councils. Primomo asked council members to consider whether UWB and UWT should have representatives on the Senate Committee on Planning and Budgeting (SCPB). Council member Stern Neill noted that some local issues (Seattle campus) affect other campuses.
Summary Action:
- Put together what is known from the minutes
- Invite Gail Stygall
- Have the note taker send information on UWB and UWT faculty council representation along with a statement of FCTCP’s purpose to the council Chair.

**a. Evaluate and revisit cross-campus registration policy**
As a member of the Faculty Council on Academic Standards (FCAS), council member Robert Corbett explained that FCAS felt that it was never consulted or asked about the policy prior to its introduction. He outlined concerns raised in FCAS, including the question of a student receiving two degrees and from which campus the student would graduate. Killien noted that this policy involves an enrollment management issue along with a philosophical issue. She was not certain whether another effort to evaluate it has been made, and whether or not the council should pursue it. Council member J.W. Harrington read a handout on the policy guidelines, both current and proposed. Wood noted that the intention of the policy was on helping students. When asked if this council wanted to take on the issue, Primomo replied that it seems big, beyond the scope of this council. Council member Mike Stiber suggested that the council focus on one small part of it in order to help move it up.

Council member Susan Jeffords supported the idea of breaking down the omnibus proposal, using Norm Rose standards (from the Rose task force), and inviting a student perspective (ASUW) since it is meant to benefit them. ASUW representative Shawn Fisher proposed involving students from UWB and UWT. Jeffords proposed inviting the ASUW Presidents from UWB and UWT.

**Action:** The Chair summarized the need to gather together documents sent to FCAS and those from the website; to talk with George Dillon, the Chair of FCAS; to find out what the current policy is on student enrollment; and to bring this back to FCTCP to see what they want to pursue.

**b. Initiate, review data, and make recommendations from evaluation of three-campus undergraduate curriculum review**
The question was how do we proceed on this? A task force met in May 2007 to brainstorm ideas. Primomo noted that this was a good task force issue and asked for volunteers to sit on one. Three members agreed to sit on the task force: J.W. Harrington, Robert Corbett, and Marcia Killien, who will be the Chair.

**c. Explore innovative cross-campus initiatives**
Council member Killien asked the council if there are some new initiatives, rather than reactions to problems. Council member Harrington noted that taking the issue farther fits within the scope of the council’s charge. Killien asked, “What facilitates cross-campus collaboration?” She suggested having something like a checklist that notes the sticking points. Council member Charles Lord asked if the new Environmental College was a cross-campus initiative and noted that it should be if it isn’t. He recommended viewing it as a case study for what needs to be done to facilitate cross-campus initiatives. Council member Wood suggested forming a study group comprised of administrators and faculty,
who would be charged with looking at national examples of how this is done. He noted that other universities have different programs and that the possibilities are endless. He emphasized the importance of working with the interest of students from a reflective, rather than a reactive point of view.

d. Discuss criteria for considering separate campus accreditation for professional programs (e.g. Business, Nursing, Social Work)
Council members briefly discussed the accreditation process for professional programs. Issues addressed included what are points of readiness and how specific are the accreditation standards. It was noted that some programs can elect to have separate reviews (like Education), but others cannot (like Nursing). Council member Ana Mari Cauce reported that the implications for separate accreditation are wide ranging. One issue is the cost, which can differ greatly depending on the rules and regulations of the program. Council member Julie Nicoletta noted that separate Regional Accreditation is being considered at UW Tacoma. They are currently examining the pros and cons of such a change. The Chair asked the council if they should return to this issue this year. Council member Killien noted that the original agenda item was focused on local accreditation, like Nursing. It was noted that if all programs prepare to take steps for separate accreditation it could lead to drawbacks. Primomo asked the council if they should form a task force around this issue. Nursing and Business are both jointly accredited programs. At this time, the council will gather information. Cauce noted that there are many models out there to help.

There was not enough time left to address the remaining subtopics under item 5, and item 6. These will be addressed at subsequent FCTCP meetings. For the next meeting the council will address: the report from the sub-committee on the evaluation of the three-campus curriculum review process, and an update on the North Campus development.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:30 a.m.
Minutes by Melissa Kane, Faculty Senate, mmkane@u.washington.edu or 543-2884

Present: Faculty: Collins, Wood, Harrington, Killien, Neill, Primomo (Chair)  
President’s Designees: Cauce, Jeffords, Rushing  
Ex-officio Representatives: Corbett, Fisher, Lord, Nicoletta, Stiber, West, Weitkamp

Absent: Faculty: Stein (excused)  
Ex-officio: Lovell