The Provost filed no petitions for adjudications during the academic year. Six petitions for adjudication were filed by faculty members during the academic year. One of these was a dispute over salary and the request was denied because of untimely filing. The second, involving a dispute over a retirement package, was also denied because of untimely filing. There were three filings by faculty members appealing a tenure decision. One of these resulted in a hearing that eventuated in a recommendation by the faculty panel that the appeal be denied. In the other two, a hearing was commenced but not completed because a settlement was reached that was acceptable to all. A sixth petition was filed at the close of the academic year disputing a dean’s failure to renew a chair held by the faculty member. The Chair, after consultation with a Brief Adjudication Application Panel, concluded that this petition should be handled as a Brief Adjudication, but the Hearing Officer converted it to a Comprehensive Adjudication after further evidence was presented. This adjudication was ongoing at the close of the academic year.
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