

SECTION V

**WRITTEN COMMENTS RECEIVED
FROM AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS
AND INDIVIDUALS AND
RESPONSES TO THOSE COMMENTS**

SECTION V

WRITTEN COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS AND INDIVIDUALS AND RESPONSES TO THOSE COMMENTS

The DEIS comment period was originally planned for 45 days – from October 16, 2000 to November 30, 2000. During the comment period, several agencies and organizations requested that the comment period be extended consistent with that of the City University Community Advisory Committee (CUCAC). The University agreed and extended the DEIS public comment period to January 10. During the DEIS comment period, 60 comment letters were received, including letters from seven agencies, from 23 organizations, and 37 individuals. These letters generated 740 comments. Each of these comment letters is included in this section of the Final EIS in their entirety -- together with responses to the comments that they raise.

Consistent with WAC 197-11-560, responses are provided for substantive comments relating to the accuracy of data and conclusions associated with the DEIS analysis. Statements of preference and subjective opinion regarding the Proposed Action or analysis are acknowledged; although not required, a response is provided wherever possible. Where the same or similar comments appear numerous times, a complete response is provided with regard to the initial commenter; subsequent commenters are referred to the initial comment. In addition, agencies, organizations and individuals raised comments concerning five major issues, including:

- A. Phasing/Timing/Priorities for Campus Master Plan Development;
- B. Street, Alley and Aerial Vacations;
- C. Transportation Management Plan;
- D. Historic Preservation; and
- E. Relationship to the University Community Urban Center Plan.

Those issues are discussed in *Section IV* of this Final EIS and comments pertaining to those issues are referred to the discussion in *Section IV* of this document.

The following is the list of agencies, organizations and individuals that submitted written comments concerning the DEIS.

Agencies

1. Seattle City Councilmember – Richard Conlin
2. City of Seattle Department of Design, Construction and Land Use¹
3. City of Seattle Landmarks Preservation Board
4. Seattle-King County Public Health – Wally Swofford
5. King County Department of Transportation
6. Puget Sound Clean Air Agency – Paul Carr
7. Sound Transit

¹ This letter includes commentary from other City departments.

Organizations

1. City University Community Advisory Committee (CUCAC)
2. Student Representative to CUCAC – Margaret Hornbaker
3. Seattle Community Council Federation
4. Northeast District Council
5. UW College of Arts and Sciences – Julie Stein
6. UW Departments of Astronomy and History – W.T. Sullivan
7. UW Departments of Botany, Biology and Zoology – Joe Ammirati, et al
8. UW College of Forest Resources – Bruce Larson
9. UW Faculty Senate – John Schaufelberger
10. Historic Seattle – John Chaney
11. Laurelhurst Community Club
12. Montlake Community Club
13. Roosevelt Neighbors Alliance
14. Save Seattle's Trees – Richard Ellison
15. University District Community Council
16. University Park Community Club

Individuals

- | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Bruce Balick | 20. Stacey Liam |
| 2. Bill Barlow | 21. Melinda McRae |
| 3. Pamela J. Bruton | 22. Bob Meredith |
| 4. B. Cima | 23. Michael Moedritzer |
| 5. Phil Mark Davis | 24. Brian Norton |
| 6. Marjorie Domenowske | 25. Ursula Othel |
| 7. Bryant Fujimoto | 26. Deborah Ritter |
| 8. Perry Gayaldo | 27. Glenn Showalter |
| 9. Paul Gibson | 28. Emily Sisson |
| 10. George Goll | 29. John Stewart |
| 11. R.H. Goodwin | 30. Jan Storek |
| 12. Liz Gotterer | 31. Philip Thiel |
| 13. Philip Grega | 32. Pat Wahl |
| 14. L.G. Hahn | 33. Erica Weiland |
| 15. Helsell/Fetterman | 34. Patty Whisler |
| 16. Eldon Jacobsen | 35. Douglas Wills |
| 17. Jane Koenig | 36. D. Wright |
| 18. Lee Kolb | 37. Liesl Zappler-Rogers |
| 19. Roger Leed | |

Responses to the comments are numbered to correspond with issues in each letter. Comments that required changes to the text of the DEIS are reflected in *Section III* of this Final EIS. Comments regarding issues considered 'major' are addressed in *Section IV* of this Final EIS.